

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJRRGY_110245   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) serological status unknown at the time of delivery at the University Teaching Hospital of Bogodogo (UTH-B) in Ouagadougou: evaluation of patient's adherence to screening |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)  |
|--|--|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?<br><br>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?<br><br>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?<br><br>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br><br>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | <br>1. Yes. Prevention of paediatric HIV infection is paramount in the reduction of prevalence rates.<br>2. Title could be made shorter. For instance "Evaluation of patients' adherence to Human Immunodeficiency Virus at the Ouagadougou University Teaching Hospital"<br>3. Need to mention what tests were used to determine factors influencing uptake in the abstract. The abstract should contain enough information as a standalone section. Aim for 3 to 5 keywords.<br>4. The subsections are incomplete. Need to see recommendations to authors on preparing manuscripts.<br>5. The methods are scientifically acceptable. Author has to present the ethical considerations. Was the study approved by an ethical committee?<br>6. References are recent but quite few. Need an extensive literature review in the discussion section. The author has limited his discussion to few references. Author should state what approach their country uses to screen pregnant women for HIV (opt in or opt out, etc.) Need to inform the audience the generalizability of the study results by doing an extensive literature review and explaining any possible differences seen.<br><br>Author needs to mention any competing interest or declare if there is none. | <br>We have taken into account the suggestion to modify the title.<br><br>the abstract has been improved in its method section keywords have been reviewed and reduced<br><br>We have completed the section on declaration of absence of conflict of interest<br><br>the research was accepted by the hospital's institutional ethics committee<br><br>We have added 5 new articles recently published.<br><br><br>We have completed the section on declaration of absence of conflict of interest |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | <br>Satisfactory   |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments   | None   |  |

Review Form 1.7

**PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | we have clarified the absence of conflict of interest  |