

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109263
Title of the Manuscript:	Crop residues management option in rice-wheat cropping system: A review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The paper is a good attempt to synthesize some valuable information through discussions and reviews of different options that could be used effectively to a burning issue of straw as well as many other alternatives of crop residue in the rice-wheat system. A huge area is covered under this system.. Such information may help in identification of gaps and framing out research needs. 2. Its appropriate. 3. Yes. 4. Yes 5. Its OK 6. Most references in the section are OK.	Noted Thanks Thanks
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript (MS) has a few errors of the English language.	Ok
Optional/General comments	Selected changes have been marked within the text body of the MS in track changed mode as well as some other comments for improvements. The authors may be suggested to incorporate these for improvement in the manuscript (MS). Authors may be advised to resubmit to editors after incorporating suggested revisions in the MS.	Noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	