Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Plant & Soil Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJPSS_107601**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effectiveness of Paclobutrazol Application on Improvement of Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) Yield Under Water Deficit Conditions**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**Yes,** **The title looks good; however, I am a bit confuse to get the idea what actually the paper is about. If revise to deliver the idea clearly will be better.** **Yes, it is. The abstract section needs some revision for spellings and grammar. One sentence should be added in the abstract to display the background.** **Each chapter of the paper is organized well and written well briefly.** **It is, but needs some corrections.** **The references are very limited to support the results of authors findings. Moreover, there are some grammatical mistakes and are not arranged properly.**	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	1. Language quality needs to be improved, as in most of the places there are mis spell but the author didn,t realized to check it. 2. In most of the places more than one space is given which is not grammatically sound, needs to be revised. 3. The manuscript to be check once Grammarly before the final draft.	
Optional/General comments	1. Methodology section needs to be corrected Grammarly. There are so many sentences that has not written in proper grammar. 2. The sub section in methodology is also titled: 2.3. methodology, should be revised for proper title in the sub section. 3. Use the proper formula format from the equation command. Revise the formula for HI. 4. Most of the titles in methodology section should be revised as they are written as sentences. 5. Numbering to be revised "2.1. not like 2.1" 6. Include one paragraph in the introduction part regarding the novelty of your research. 7. Use recommended format style in the table section too. The writing is in time new roman, but some tables are written in calibri style. 8. None of the table mentioning the footnote and denotation, which is hard for understanding the tables. 9. Tables needs to be revised. 10. Reference revision is required.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Farhan Ahmad**
Department, University & Country	**Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia**