

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110002
Title of the Manuscript:	Population dynamics of gram pod borer (Helicoverpa armigera Hubner) and its larval parasitoid (Campoletis chloridaeae) on chickpea
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>1. Yes</b></p> <p><b>2. Yes but can be improved</b> “Population dynamics of gram pod borer (<i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> Hubner, 1805) and its larval parasitoid (<i>Campoletis chlorideae</i> Uchida, 1957) on chickpea”</p> <p><b>3. Yes</b></p> <p><b>4. Yes</b></p> <p><b>5. Yes</b></p> <p><b>6. Yes</b></p>	<p>Yes, corrected as per reviewer's suggestion</p>
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>In some parts, particularly through the introduction, many mistakes of sentence building impede comprehension. So, I strongly recommend improving those parts.</p>	<p>Improved as suggested in introduction part</p>
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>I have made alternative proposals where I found that there was room for improvement.</p>	<p>Yes, improved as proposed</p>

## PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	