Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_110441
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of Probability of Earthquakes in Zimbabwe Using Gumbel's Extreme Value Theory Method
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		·
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	1. The manuscript holds significance for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into earthquake probability estimation using Gumbel's Extreme Value Theory Method in Zimbabwe. By offering statistical analysis and predictions regarding earthquake	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	magnitudes and their likelihood within specific time frames, the manuscript aids in seismic risk assessment and planning, crucial for both scientific understanding and practical preparedness.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	2. YES3. The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the manuscript's objectives,	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	methodology, key findings, and conclusions. It succinctly summarizes the study's approach, data sources, and significant outcomes, effectively encapsulating the essence of the	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	research. 4. YES	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	5. YES6. The manuscript includes a comprehensive list of references spanning various studies related to seismicity, extreme value theory, and earthquake probabilities.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
	Minor : The equations are not properly aligned in some places.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Accept after minor changes	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Phani Yedlapalli
Department, University & Country	Shri Vishnu Engineering College for Women, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)