

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_110732
Title of the Manuscript:	Revealed State-of-the-Art Review of Phytochemical Content and Application from Cestrum nocturnum: Comparative Study for Physicochemical Aspect
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript is good for the scientific community in aspect of Phytochemical Content and Application 2. OK 3. Yes 4. OK 5. YES 6. 3-4 current year reference may be added. 	<p>1. agreed with the reviewer</p> <p>2. agreed with the reviewer</p> <p>3. agreed with the reviewer</p> <p>4. agreed with the reviewer</p> <p>5. agreed with the reviewer</p> <p>6. agreed with the reviewer and added the current reference.</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	As per my understanding Result & discussion part more words. if possible do small result.	Results and discussion have consisted
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The spelling should be corrected. 2. The shown figure and table are not in the proper place. 3. The graphs should be in the same aspect ratio and size. 4. The year 2023/2024 references may be added. 	Modified

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Yes, ethically sound.