Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_110583	
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Prognostic Factors for Abnormal Neurodevelopmental Outcomes by Using RNDA Tool in Children with Acute Bacterial Meningitis	
Type of the Article		

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Acute Bacterial meningitis (ABM) is a severe infection accounting high mortality and disability in	
Is the manuscript important for scientific community?	children. Early identification of ABM in patients at high risk is criticalfor prevention and treatment. Current paper focused onthe assessment of the prognostic factors for abnormal	
(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	neurodevelopmental outcomes is timely and very important to scientific and medical community.	
	2. The title is suitable.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?	3. The abstract is comprehensive.	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	4. All the subsections of the manuscript are appropriate. RNDA CSF, WBC, OPD terms- although	
2 Is the shotrest of the orticle community 2	conventionally used very often-need to be fully spelled out at the the first time-use in the	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	abstract/text. The significance value needs to be at the end of the statement, Also, authors need to specify which statistical analysis was performed to conclude p value in the parenthesis as a	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	conventional norm (regardless of whether or not it is included in the Materials and Methods).	
по тако описотно и по по по по предоргано г	Why are the references highlighted in the text-unless required as per author-instructions. Acute	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Bacterial Meningitis is once briefed as "BM", the other time ABM. Authors are required to	
	maintain consistency.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of	5. Authors may need to execute "Spell-Check" function-if American English style considered	
additional references, please mention in the review form.	suitably appropriate	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide	6. Reference are OK. References Copy-Pasted from PubMed/Google Scholar need to be formatted correctly (Turkey. Acta).	
additional suggestions/comments)	Torrialled correctly (Turkey. Acta).	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly	1. By and large, yes, the language/English quality is acceptable for scholarly communications.	
communications?		
Optional/Generalcomments	None.	

PART 2:

	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neelima Chauhan	
Department, University & Country	University of Illinois, USA	

Created by: DR Checked by: PMApproved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)