

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_110583
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Prognostic Factors for Abnormal Neurodevelopmental Outcomes by Using RNDA Tool in Children with Acute Bacterial Meningitis
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, The manuscript is about the function of RNDA Tool. This is an interesting clinical issue that applies in the hospital setting</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, Authors should add two references in the introduction for clarity and explanation:</p> <p>1.First paragraph: Streptococcus Viridans meningitis in an immunocompetent child: A case report / https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/ccr3.7058</p> <p>2.Second paragraph: An unusual case of a toddler with Canavan disease with frequent intractable seizures: A case report and review of the literature / https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2050313X231160885</p> <p>Note: It is recommended to modify the conclusion section. You should add practical tips in this section and finally add the final conclusion of the article. Expressing numerical values and their relationship is good, but it should be more practical.</p>	<p>Ok</p> <p>Noted</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Noted</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>It would be better to explain a little about the rare neurodevelopmental disorders that predispose a person to bacterial meningitis. You can also use these references:</p> <p>1. https://academic.oup.com/omcr/article/2023/3/omad024/7085888</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	