

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_110583
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Prognostic Factors for Abnormal Neurodevelopmental Outcomes by Using RNDA Tool in Children with Acute Bacterial Meningitis
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Acute Bacterial meningitis (ABM) is a severe infection accounting high mortality and disability in children. Early identification of ABM in patients at high risk is critical for prevention and treatment. Current paper focused on the assessment of the prognostic factors for abnormal neurodevelopmental outcomes is timely and very important to scientific and medical community.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. All the subsections of the manuscript are appropriate. RNDA CSF, WBC, OPD terms- although conventionally used very often-need to be fully spelled out at the first time-use in the abstract/text. The significance value needs to be at the end of the statement, Also, authors need to specify which statistical analysis was performed to conclude p value in the parenthesis as a conventional norm (regardless of whether or not it is included in the Materials and Methods). Why are the references highlighted in the text-unless required as per author-instructions. Acute Bacterial Meningitis is once briefed as "BM", the other time ABM. Authors are required to maintain consistency.</p> <p>5. Authors may need to execute "Spell-Check" function-if American English style considered suitably appropriate</p> <p>6. Reference are OK. References Copy-Pasted from PubMed/Google Scholar need to be formatted correctly (Turkey, Acta).</p>	<p>Ok</p> <p>Noted</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. By and large, yes, the language/English quality is acceptable for scholarly communications.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>None.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	