

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_110991
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of Probiotic Lactic acid bacteria from Palm wine and their in-vivo evaluation on Diabetic patient using wistar rat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community because it deals with the evaluation of lactic acid bacteria on diabetic diseases. This research can contribute valuable insights and knowledge to the understanding and management of diabetes, which is of significant interest and relevance to the scientific community working in the field of health and medicine.</p> <p>Suitable</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct. The research methodology, data analysis, and interpretations are sound, adhering to established scientific principles. The findings contribute to the existing body of knowledge on the subject, and the manuscript is supported by relevant literature, enhancing its credibility. Overall, the scientific accuracy of the manuscript strengthens its value and contribution to the field.</p> <p>The references may not be sufficient and recent. It is advisable for the author to incorporate the latest literature from the last few years in the field of research to enhance the manuscript's relevance and demonstrate awareness of recent developments in the subject area. Updating the references will strengthen the scholarly foundation of the manuscript and ensure that it aligns with the most current advancements in the field.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Suitable</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It seems like there are extra spaces in the text. The author should review and adhere to the specific requirements for article formatting in this journal to ensure proper spacing.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anatoli Cartasev
Department, University & Country	Comrat State University, Moldova