# **Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_111037
Title of the Manuscript:	Valuation of Provisioning Ecosystem Services from Groundnut Organic Farming
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		,
Is the manuscript important for scientific community?	1. The manuscript important for scientific community as well as farmer community	
(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	The article revealed that the economic value of the provisioning services from organic groundnut	
	farming was estimated to be Rs. 260,287. These provide strong support for the adoption and	
	promotion of sustainable organic farming.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	2. Yes, The title of the article submitted is highly suitable as the all keywords are playing vital role throughout the paper.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	<b>3. Yes,</b> The abstract of the article is comprehensive and is satisfying the conclusion part of the article.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	4. Yes, The subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate and suggested to facilitate more information in the following columns  2. Material and Methods  2.1 Analytical tools	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	2.2 Valuation of Provisioning Ecosystem Services	
	5. As per the report of the Results and Discussion Socio-economic characteristics of the sample farmers, Input use pattern of organic groundnut farmers, Costs and returns of Groundnut Organic farming reports are scientifically significant with respect to the abstract.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	6. The references are in-sufficient and suggested to include more	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ol> <li>Yes, the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications, but there are chances to improve</li> </ol>	
Optional/General comments	The article submitted is highly suitable as the all keywords are playing vital role throughout the paper.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

# **Review Form 1.7**

## PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	S Murali Mohan
Department, University & Country	JNTUA, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)