

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_110842
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors affecting safety courtesy behavior among Thai flight crews: construct validity and structural regression analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes           <b>Assessment of the factors affecting safety courtesy behavior among Thai flight crews: construct validity and structural regression analysis (It is only a suggestion)</b>   NO  Yes  Yes   Yes	I would like to adhere to the original title.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language is ok	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The authors should be clear on the specific research questions pertaining to the topic they are answering. It is not clear which research questions are being answered.  In my humble opinion, the literature review should highlight on the specific research questions in relation to the specific elements that are connected to safety courtesy behaviors among Thai flight crews.  Details description of the methodology aspects should in indicated. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Study setting</li> <li>- Process of selecting the study subjects including informed consent</li> <li>- Inclusion and exclusion criteria</li> <li>- Data collection process and detail description of data collection instrument, how long interviews lasted, challenges faced during data collection etc</li> <li>- Method of analysis and the reason for deciding on a specific method of data analysis</li> <li>- Ethical approval</li> </ul> It is suggested that the results be separated from the discussion. Besides, the discussion lacks. Detail supporting literature.	-Research questions which are being answer were added into discussion section <b>as highlighted in yellow.</b>  -In my study, I would like to arrange the literature review according to the hypothesized conceptual framework, from each variable. Therefore, I would like to stick to this style of literature review.  -Details description of the methodology aspects were added <b>as highlighted in yellow.</b>  -Supporting literature was in the conclusion section.

Review Form 1.7

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	