Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_107927
Title of the Manuscript:	An assessment of the elemental compositions of neem (Azadirachta indica A. Juss) products for biofertilizer production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	☐ 1. This is an important manuscript for the scientific community as it provides valuable data on the elemental compositions of neem products to inform biofertilizer development.	·
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	 2. The title accurately reflects the content and scope of the study. No change needed. 3. The abstract comprehensively summarizes the key aspects of the study including background, methods, results, and conclusions. No major revision needed. 	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	 □ 4. The manuscript structure and organization into sections is appropriate. No changes needed. □ 5. The methods are scientifically sound with proper controls, replication, and statistical analysis. I did not notice any major scientific flaws. 	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	☐ 6. The references seem sufficient and cover the relevant literature. I suggest adding 1-2 very recent references on neem as biofertilizer.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	TOOGHT TOTOTOTIOG OTT TOOTH AC SIGNOTATIZET.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments	The language and academic writing quality meets standards for scholarly publication. I would suggest the minor revisions outlined previously.	
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	adagged the filmer revisions admired providesty.	
Optional/General comments	This is a technically strong manuscript that provides useful data on the nutrient composition of neem products. I recommend acceptance after minor revisions. Avoid overuse of "this study" - vary with "our study" or "the current study" Break up some lengthy sentences for clarity Fix minor typos	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ming Cheng Shih
Department, University & Country	I-Shou University, China

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)