

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_110121
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOETHANOL PRODUCTION FROM DECAYING ORANGES AND PINEAPPLE JUICE USING ETHANOL TOLERANT- YEAST
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes, The idea and focusing is good. But need some more clarification about decaying and contamination. As a beginner, we need to highlight points with strong effort and experimental data. This is an inspiration for the students only. The brewing industry needs some additional values.</p> <p>Yes I gave my suggestions in the comments; if it is possible u can change.</p> <p>Yes, some more corrections could enhance the content value</p> <p>Nice and good explain with some key points only</p> <p>Yes, depend on the view of researcher and the analyser point differs. We should encourage them to improve their skill.</p> <p>References ok. There is no need to modify. But in discussion, some correlations were contradictory. At that point, provide relevant reference for comparison in future.</p>	<p>I agree</p> <p>Which title do you suggest?</p> <p>We will look at the review to be made</p> <p>I agree</p> <p>I agree</p> <p>We will improve on this and update the references.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Ok. Simple English is also elevate our work in high level. Complexity leads boring to the readers</p>	<p>I agree</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>There are 15 yeast isolates means you have to compile the isolation and purification of all strains and specify the name of media for cultivation. Focus on what are the cultures we need to complete the task.</p>	<p>This is not clear. Can you please elaborate what you meant by this? We used the same medium YEPD Medium to isolate the organisms and used different tests for the identification and screening.</p>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues in the manuscript and authors have declared no conflict of interest.