

Effect of millet based intercropping systems on growth and productivity of castor under irrigated condition

Comment [C1]: Title may be "Growth and Productivity of castor as influenced by millet based intercropping systems."

ABSTRACT

Comment [C2]: Check the author guidelines to write abstract...

Aims: Nutri cereals are said to as famine reserves because they can grow well in low moisture conditions and castor is a significant oil seed crop. Both are used as intercrop due to increased inter and intra row spacing of castor, millets are perfectly suited for intercropping with castor.

Study Design: The experiment was conducted in a Randomized block design (RBD) with nine treatments and three replications.

Place and Duration of Study: Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during summer season of 2022.

Methodology: The major objective is to study the effect of millet based intercropping systems on growth and productivity of castor under irrigated situation. The experimental details viz; T₁ - castor + foxtail millet (1:3), T₂ - castor + proso millet (1:3), T₃ - castor + little millet (1:3), T₄ - castor + kodo millet (1:3), T₅ - paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4), T₆ - paired row castor + proso millet (2:4), T₇ - paired row castor + little millet (2:4), T₈ - paired row castor + kodo millet (2:4), T₉ - sole castor.

Results: According to the findings of the study, highest growth parameters, yield attributes and castor seed yield were recorded in sole castor and it was on par with nutri cereal intercropping systems, paired row castor + proso millet and paired row castor + foxtail millet systems.

Conclusion: Proso millet and foxtail millet were indentified to be compatible intercrops with castor for improved productivity.

Keywords: Castor, nutri cereals, growth, seed yield

Comment [C3]: Rewrite the abstract again.. it will include the site of experiment, year of the study with objectives.. There should be treatment and design used with how many replications. After that elaborate the results of outcome of the study and performance of best treatment which can be recommended for enhancing yield

1. INTRODUCTION

Castor is a non-edible, industrial oilseed crop of in India with significant industrial and commercial value. India is the world's largest producer of castor with the production of 7.7 lakh tonnes produced from 7.86 lakh hectares, sharing with 68 and 76 per cent share in the castor area and output and generates over rupees 4000 crores in export revenue each year. India outperforms the global average for castor productivity followed by other big producers like China and Brazil. It contains 85–90% naturally occurring ricinoleic acid, ~~castor oil is significant economically~~. In India castor is cultivated in an area of 887.50 ('000 hectares) with a production of 1646.96 ('000 tonne) and productivity of 1856 kg ha⁻¹ whereas in Tamil Nadu it is cultivated in an area of 5.7 (In '000 hectares) with a production and productivity of 1.80 ('000 tonnes) and 312 kg ha⁻¹ respectively [1]. Due to its strong root structure, it thrives well in dry conditions as well as with minimal irrigation. ~~Now a days~~ cultivation of castor is ~~currently growing in~~ gaining popularity due to its strong export potential and medical benefits. ~~It is an indeterminate and non-edible oil seed crop grown under low rainfall conditions of semi arid regions of India.~~

Comment [C4]: Write alphabetically order

Comment [C5]: Write scientific name also

Comment [C6]: Write in million or billion

Comment [C7]: Give recent data with proper reference

Comment [C8]: Check

Comment [C9]: Thousand tonne

Comment [C10]: No need to write data of TN...

Consumption of minor millets has showed good health effects among diabetes patients. Intercropping has been recognised as a type of biological insurance against dangers in areas with abnormal rainfall patterns [2]. Castor is grown as a mixed or intercrop and as a solitary crop. Because the inter- and intra-row spacing is larger, it is perfect for intercropping systems. Hence, the present study was undertaken to identify the best nutri cereals intercropping systems to increase production.

Comment [C11]: Here you are cultivating crop under irrigated ecosystem, then abnormal rainfall may not influence.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Site Selection

The field experiment was conducted during summer 2022, ~~in Field No. 36 A~~ at Eastern Block Farm, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India ~~which was~~ The ~~experimental field is~~ located in Western Agro Climatic Zone of Tamil Nadu with ~~the geo-ordinates of~~ 11°N latitude, 76°E longitude and at an altitude of 427 m above the mean sea level (MSL). The maximum and minimum temperatures recorded were 32.9°C and 22.6°C, respectively. The relative humidity was 82.7% in the forenoon (07:22 hrs.) and 47.2% in the afternoon (14:22 hrs.). The rainfall of 3.5 mm was received during crop growth period and it was widely distributed over 2 rainy days. The bright sunshine of 6.9 hours day⁻¹ and the mean solar radiation of 355.7 cal cm⁻² day⁻¹ were recorded. The wind speed of 5.8 km hr⁻¹. The soil ~~texture~~ was sandy clay loam ~~with low in range of~~ available nitrogen (174 kg ha⁻¹), medium ~~range of in~~ available phosphorus (22.3 kg ha⁻¹) ~~with and~~ high range of available potassium (800 kg ha⁻¹).

Comment [C12]: 3.5 mm?

2.2 Experimental Description

The study was conducted in Randomized Complete Block design with following treatments viz., T₁ - castor + foxtail millet (1:3), T₂ - castor + proso millet (1:3), T₃ - castor + little millet (1:3), T₄ - castor + kodo millet (1:3), T₅ - paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4), T₆ - paired row castor + proso millet (2:4), T₇ - paired row castor + little millet (2:4), T₈ - paired row castor + kodo millet (2:4), T₉ - sole castor. ~~TNAU Castor hybrid YRCH 1 was selected for the experiment.~~ The hybrid castor YRCH 1 was sown at the depth of 4-6 cm with the seed rate of 5 kg ha⁻¹ with spacing for intercropping (150 x 120 cm) and for paired row spacing was carried out of about 90 x 120 cm. Three rows of nutri cereals were sown in between two rows of castor (1:3). Four rows of nutri cereals were sown in between two paired rows of castor (2:4). As per the blanket recommendation, 196:281:75 NPK kg ha⁻¹ were supplied through urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash. In irrigated situations apply 100% P and 50% N and K as basal and remaining quantity of N and K may be applied in two equal splits at 30th and 60th DAS. ZnSO₄ was applied @ 12.5 kg ha⁻¹. ~~The study was conducted in Randomized Complete Block design with following treatments viz., T₁ - castor + foxtail millet (1:3), T₂ - castor + proso millet (1:3), T₃ - castor + little millet (1:3), T₄ - castor + kodo millet (1:3), T₅ - paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4), T₆ - paired row castor + proso millet (2:4), T₇ - paired row castor + little millet (2:4), T₈ - paired row castor + kodo millet (2:4), T₉ - sole castor.~~ Observations on growth parameters viz., plant height (cm), number of effective branches plant⁻¹, stem girth (cm), dry matter production (kg ha⁻¹) and yield attributes viz., number of spike plant⁻¹, length of primary spike (cm), number of capsule spike⁻¹, 100 seed weight (g) and seed yield (kg ha⁻¹) were recorded by selecting 5 plants randomly in each plot. ~~Five plants were randomly selected in each treatment plot. The data required for all analysis were collected recorded~~ and analysed statistically under the randomised block design as suggested by [3]. If the treatment differences were found to be significant, then the critical difference (CD) was worked out at 5% probability level (P=0.05). If no significant differences observed between any treatments, then it was considered as non-significant and indicated as NS.

Comment [C13]: Reference?

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth parameters

Experimental results revealed that the growth and development showed significant improvement in growth parameters such as plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹, stem girth and dry matter production which are direct indices to measure plant growth and vigour. All the growth components were influenced due to different intercropping systems at harvest stage of castor (Table 1). At harvest sole castor recorded highest plant height (175.36 cm), which was on par with paired row castor + proso millet (2:4) (170.20 cm) and was followed by paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4) (155.61 cm). The reason behind this was wider spacing leads to taller plants. These results are consistent with those of [4] and [5]. Among the treatments, sole castor recorded more number of branches plant⁻¹ (9.20) and stem girth (9.50 cm) at harvest and was followed by paired row castor + proso millet (2:4), paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4). A crop's biological and economic yield directly correlates with the number of branches per plant. Having more branches means producing a higher yield, hence branches per plant are an important characteristic [6]. Sole castor recorded higher dry matter production (3545 kg ha⁻¹) which was closely followed by paired row castor + proso millet (2:4) (2983 kg ha⁻¹) and paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4) (2925 kg ha⁻¹). Paired row castor with kodo millet (2:4) had most competitive effect for least DMP of 2050 kg ha⁻¹. Similarly castor + kodo millet (1:3) also showed inhibitive effect among all. The higher DMP under paired row sowing may be attributable to the higher leaf area index, which received more photosynthetically active radiation, leading to an increase in photosynthetic efficiency. In addition, paired row sowing was associated with higher nutrient uptake than regular row sowing [7] and [8].

Comment [C14]: ?

Comment [C15]: How? Give proper reference and explanation.

Comment [C16]: ?

3.2 Yield attributes and yield

Sole castor recorded significantly a greater number of spike plant⁻¹ (28.2), number of capsule spike⁻¹ (55.8) and highest length of primary branch (40.1 cm) and was comparable to paired row castor + proso millet and paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4) in all pickings. The yield parameters were not significantly affected by the number of castor plants cultivated in intercrops (1:3) and paired rows (2:4). This was brought about by an increase in light absorption, more available space and reduced competition for nutrients, water and light. These results were given in the findings of [9] and [10]. In castor with leguminous intercropping systems, [11] showed similar results where the intra-specific competition was not increased by the pairing of rows as compared to castor that was sown in evenly spaced rows, this gave space for additional rows of intercrops. Castor + kodo millet (1:3) intercropping and castor + kodo millet grown in paired rows both had significantly less spike plants⁻¹, primary spike length and capsule plants⁻¹ (2:4) due to competitive effect and less use efficiency of space, light, nutrients and more weed density in kodo millet intercropped castor systems. The various treatments showed no significant influence on the weight of 100 castor seeds. Similar findings were observed by [12]. The highest castor seed yield (2098 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in sole castor which was found on par with paired row castor + proso millet (2:4) (2003 kg ha⁻¹) and paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4) (1914 kg ha⁻¹). This was observed from [13], that castor + Dolichos (1:3) intercropping had the highest

Comment [C17]: Don't write like this....
Follow: Similar findings were also observed by [9] and [10].

seed yield, followed by castor + french bean (1:2). Intercropping castor with greengram (1 or 2 rows) or sesame (1 or 2 rows) recorded higher castor seed yield on par with castor sole treatment [14].

Comment [C18]: Check grammar... Write proper sentence

CONCLUSION

Based on one season field experiment, ~~the experimental results~~ it ~~was~~ may be concluded that highest growth ~~parameters, yield attributes~~ and seed yield were recorded in sole castor ~~and it~~ which was significantly on par with nutri cereal intercropping systems, paired row castor + proso millet and paired row castor + foxtail millet systems. Thus, proso millet and foxtail millet were indentified to be compatible intercrops with castor under paired row system ~~which may be recommended for enhancing improved~~ productivity.

REFERENCES

1. Indiatat. 2022. www.indiastat.com.
2. Koli B, Deshpande A, Kate R, Bangar A. Inter-and Intra-cropping of vegetables in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) on Inceptisols of dryland conditions. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2004;49(3):154-156.
3. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research: John wiley & sons 1984;680.
4. Lopes GEM, Vieira HD, Partelli FL. Response of castor bean plants to different row spacings and planting seasons. American Journal of Plant Sciences. 2013.
5. Daisy M, Thavaprakash N, Velayudham K, Divya V. Effect of System of Crop Intensification (SCI) practices on growth, yield attributes and yield of castor hybrid YRCH 1. International Journal of Advanced Life Sciences. 2013;6(4):366-374.
6. Mamatha M, Farooqi A, Prasad T. Studies on growth, development and relationship between vegetative growth and yield in *Gloriosa superba* Linn. WOCMAP I-Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Conference.1992;part 3 of 4:331.
7. Anjeneyulu V, Singh S, Pal M. 1982. Effect of time, technique and pattern of pearl-millet planting on its growth and yield in sole and intercropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 27(3):211-218.
8. Telkar S, Singh A, Kant K. Determination of effective spatial arrangement for intercropping of maize+ soybean using dry matter yield and competition interaction. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(4):2239-2245.
9. Mohammed Mohsin JSY, Harender, Naveen Rathi. Effect of Castor Based Intercropping Systems on Yields and Economics of Castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci. 2018;7(10):3014-3020.
10. Keshavamurthy, Yadav J. Effect of row direction and row spacing on micro-climate in castor based intercropping system. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8:2167-2170.
11. Srilatha A, Masthan S, Mohammed S. Production potentials of castor intercropping with legumes under rainfed conditions. Journal of Oilseeds Research. 2002;19(1):127-128.
12. Kumar S. Effect of planting pattern and fertilizer management on castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) based intercropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2002;47(3):355-360.
13. Veeranna G, Yakadri M, Shaik M. Effect of intercropping vegetables in castor under rainfed conditions. J. Oilseeds Res. 2004;21(2):364-365.
14. Patel KS, Patel MK, Patel GN, Pathak HC. Intercropping in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) under irrigated condition. Journal of Oilseeds Research. 2007;24(1):121-123.

Comment [C19]: Do not write reference like this..

Comment [C20]: Follow alphabetically order

Table 1. Effect of millet based intercropping on growth parameters of castor

Tr No.	Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No. of effective branches plant ⁻¹	Stem girth (cm)	Dry matter production (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁	Castor + foxtail millet (1:3)	138.61	6.80	6.40	2656
T ₂	Castor + proso millet (1:3)	148.20	7.00	6.60	2802
T ₃	Castor + little millet (1:3)	134.25	6.50	6.50	2425
T ₄	Castor + kodo millet (1:3)	124.12	6.25	7.00	2116
T ₅	Paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4)	155.61	7.20	7.00	2925
T ₆	Paired row castor + proso millet (2:4)	170.20	7.90	8.70	2983
T ₇	Paired row castor + little millet (2:4)	131.24	6.50	6.80	2216
T ₈	Paired row castor + kodo millet (2:4)	120.41	6.23	6.40	2050
T ₉	Sole castor	175.36	9.20	9.50	3545
	SE.d	7.7	0.47	0.43	156.1
	CD (P=0.05)	16.51	1.01	1.00	331.1

Comment [C21]: Explain why this treatment got significantly higher growth?

Comment [C22]: Explain why this treatment got significantly higher growth?

Comment [C23]: Either write symbols for treatment or full detail of treatment.. Dont write both

Comment [C24]: No need to write sEM or SE.d..

Table 2. Effect of millet based intercropping on yield attributes and yield of castor

Tr No.	Treatment	No. of spike plant ⁻¹	Length of primary spike (cm)	No. of capsule spike ⁻¹	100 seed weight (g)	Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁	Castor + foxtail millet (1:3)	23.1	30.2	45.4	29.0	1728
T ₂	Castor + proso millet (1:3)	25.2	31.4	48.1	29.2	1818
T ₃	Castor + little millet (1:3)	21.8	29.0	42.2	29.3	1633
T ₄	Castor + kodo millet (1:3)	19.0	28.3	38.7	30.2	1240
T ₅	Paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4)	26.1	32.2	50.4	30.1	1914
T ₆	Paired row castor + proso millet (2:4)	27.2	34.5	52.3	30.6	2003
T ₇	Paired row castor + little millet (2:4)	20.0	29.7	40.1	30.6	1612
T ₈	Paired row castor + kodo millet (2:4)	18.1	25.5	37.3	30.1	1165
T ₉	Sole castor	28.2	40.1	55.8	31.6	2098
	SE.d	1.24	1.67	3.42	1.11	98.19
	CD (P=0.05)	2.64	3.55	7.25	NS	208.15

Comment [C25]: We can write seed yield in q/ha instead of kg/ha

Comment [C26]: Where is economics?

Comment [C27]: No need to write this