

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111046
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Effectiveness of Climate-Resilient Rice Varieties in Building Adaptive Capacity for Small-Scale Farming Communities in Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. As the author mentioned, climate change has a great impact on agriculture, nowadays and for the future. However, it might be more useful if related literatures on climate change risks and mitigation were provided. 2. The title somehow describes the article objectives. 3. Abstract is well written and structured. 4. More details should be added to all sections of the manuscript. 5. I could not see any information about Bahadur Sub 1 variety, in material and methods it was mentioned that two rice varieties were cultivated, but data on one variety was provided. 7. Discussing the results requires more references, only 4 references were used to explain the results.	 1. Few literatures have been added 2. Okay 3. Okay 4. Okay. Noted 5. The information is both for Ranjit and Bahadur Sub 1 6. Noted
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 The writing style is acceptable, but it can be improved.	 Okay
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No	