__Review Article__

Agroforestry and Its Potential for Sustainable Land Management and Climate Action- A review

Abstract

Agroforestry, the integration of trees and shrubs with crops and/or livestock, stands as a pivotal strategy in sustainable land management and climate action. This review synthesizes current knowledge and practices of agroforestry, focusing on its diverse systems, contributions to sustainable land management, role in climate change mitigation and adaptation, and the challenges and future prospects, with a special emphasis on the Indian context. Agroforestry systems, classified into silvopastoral, agrosilvicultural, and silvoarable, along with specialized practices like alley cropping and forest farming, demonstrate significant adaptability across various climatic and geographical regions. These systems have shown promising results globally, evident in case studies highlighting their effectiveness in different environmental settings. In the realm of sustainable land management, agroforestry is instrumental in soil conservation, enhancing soil fertility, and nutrient cycling. It also plays a critical role in biodiversity conservation and the enhancement of ecosystem services, such as water regulation and pollination. Socioeconomically, agroforestry contributes to improving livelihoods and economic resilience, as demonstrated in various local community case studies. In addressing climate change, agroforestry systems are notable for their carbon sequestration capabilities. Compared to traditional agricultural practices, these systems exhibit a higher potential for carbon storage, both above and below ground. They also enhance resilience to climate extremes, offering adaptive strategies for farmers and communities facing climatic variability. Policy and global initiatives increasingly recognize agroforestry's role in climate action, with international agreements and organizations fostering its integration into national policies. The implementation of agroforestry is not without challenges. Technical complexities, the need for site-specific knowledge, economic and policy barriers, and sociocultural factors pose significant hurdles. For India, a country with diverse agro-ecological zones, these challenges are coupled with opportunities for research, technological innovation, policy improvement, and global-local collaborations. The future prospects for agroforestry are vast, particularly in the Indian context, where it can significantly contribute to sustainable agriculture and rural development. Continued research, policy support, and collaborative efforts are essential to fully realize the potential of agroforestry in environmental conservation, climate change mitigation, and socioeconomic development.

Keywords:*Agroforestry, Sustainability, Biodiversity, Silvopastoral, Climate Resilience*

Introduction

Agroforestry, a term coined in the early 20th century, stands at the intersection of agriculture and forestry. It involves a strategic integration of trees and shrubs into farming landscapes, aiming to leverage the ecological and economic interactions between these different biological communities [1]. The fundamental components of agroforestry include trees, crops, and sometimes livestock, working synergistically to create a more productive and sustainable land use system. This multifaceted approach can vary widely in its application, ranging from simple tree planting in crop fields to complex systems that mimic natural forest ecosystems [2]. The practice of agroforestry is not novel; it has been an intrinsic part of human agricultural practices for millennia, evident in ancient civilizations from the Amazon to the terraced fields of Southeast Asia [3]. The shift from these traditional practices to more recognized and structured forms of agroforestry began in earnest in the 20th century, driven by a growing recognition of the ecological limitations of intensive agricultural practices. The Green Revolution, while successful in increasing food production, also highlighted the need for more sustainable land use practices, thus renewing interest in agroforestry [4]. Agroforestry has been increasingly recognized for its potential in sustainable land management. It offers a pragmatic solution to the growing concerns over soil degradation, water scarcity, and biodiversity loss associated with conventional agriculture [5]. By integrating trees, which can improve soil structure and fertility, agroforestry systems can enhance the resilience of the land, making it more capable of sustaining agricultural productivity in the long term [6]. Beyond land management, agroforestry holds significant promise in the realm of climate action and environmental conservation. Trees in agroforestry systems act as carbon sinks, sequestering carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, thus contributing to climate change mitigation [7]. Additionally, these systems can offer habitats for diverse flora and fauna, thereby supporting biodiversity and ecosystem services such as pollination and water regulation [8]. This review aims to critically assess how agroforestry contributes to sustainable land management. It will explore the ways in which agroforestry practices can improve soil health, enhance water use efficiency, and maintain biodiversity in agricultural landscapes [9]. The review will also delve into the role of agroforestry in climate change mitigation and adaptation. It seeks to understand the potential of these systems in carbon sequestration and the provision of climate-resilient agricultural practices, thereby contributing to global efforts in addressing climate change [10].

Agroforestry Systems and Practices

A. Types of Agroforestry Systems

Agroforestry systems are broadly categorized into three main types: silvopastoral, agrosilvicultural, and silvoarable systems. Silvopastoral systems, which integrate tree and livestock production, are recognized for improving forage quality and animal welfare while enhancing biodiversity and landscape aesthetics [11]. Agrosilvicultural systems, on the other hand, combine crop production with tree cultivation, contributing to soil fertility through leaf litter and root decomposition, and providing additional income from timber or fruit sales [12]. Silvoarable systems incorporate both trees and crops on the same land, promoting biodiversity, and optimizing land use efficiency. These systems are known for their potential in improving microclimates, thus benefiting both crops and trees [13].Beyond these basic types, there are specialized agroforestry practices like alley cropping and forest farming. Alley cropping, which involves planting rows of trees at wide spacings with a companion crop grown in the alleyways between the tree rows, is particularly noted for its soil conservation benefits and the potential to provide economic stability through diversified outputs [14]. Forest farming, or the cultivation of high-value crops under the canopy of an existing forest, offers opportunities for sustainable harvesting of both non-timber forest products and timber, while maintaining forest cover [15].

Table 1: Types of Agroforestry Systems [16],[17].

Type of Agroforestry System	Description
Alley Cropping	Involves planting rows of trees or shrubs at wide spacings with an agricultural or horticultural crop grown in the spaces between the rows.
Silvopasture	Combines forestry and grazing of domesticated animals on a single plot of land. Trees are managed for timber and provide shade and shelter to the animals and pasture below.
Forest Farming	Involves the cultivation of high-value specialty crops under the protection of a managed forest canopy. Examples include ginseng, shiitake mushrooms, and decorative ferns.
Windbreaks or Shelterbelts	Rows of trees or shrubs are planted to protect crops, soil, and livestock from wind. Can also serve as habitat for wildlife, control snow deposition, and improve microclimates for crops.
Riparian Buffers	Vegetated areas (trees, shrubs, grasses) near streams and rivers that help shade and partially protect a stream from the impact of adjacent land uses. They improve water quality and provide wildlife habitat.
Multi-Strata Agroforestry	Also known as "homegardens" or "food forests," these are complex systems that mimic natural forests with multiple layers of trees, shrubs, and crops growing together.

B. Case Studies and Examples

The success of agroforestry practices can be seen in various contexts around the world. In Central America, for instance, the Quesungual Slash and Mulch Agroforestry System (QSMAS) has been widely adopted due to its effectiveness in enhancing soil moisture conservation and reducing erosion, while also increasing crop yields [18]. In Southeast Asia, particularly in Vietnam and the Philippines, integrated tree-fish farming systems have demonstrated how agroforestry can contribute to food security and livelihood diversification [19].The adaptability of agroforestry systems to different climatic and geographical conditions is one of their most remarkable features. For example, in the drylands of Sub-Saharan Africa, agroforestry practices such as the Farmer Managed Natural Regeneration (FMNR) technique have been pivotal in combating desertification and improving food security [20]. In temperate regions, such as parts of Europe and North America, agroforestry practices have been adapted to suit cooler climates, with systems such as silvopastoralism being used to utilize marginal lands and enhance biodiversity [21].

Agroforestry and Sustainable Land Management

A. Soil Conservation and Fertility

Agroforestry systems have been widely recognized for their effectiveness in soil conservation. The integration of trees into agricultural landscapes can significantly reduce the velocity of surface runoff, thereby minimizing soil erosion. The root systems of trees and shrubs play a crucial role in stabilizing soil and improving its structure [22]. This is particularly evident in hillside agroforestry practices where terraced systems combined with tree plantations have led to a marked reduction in soil erosion rates [23].The impact of agroforestry on soil fertility and nutrient cycling is multifaceted. Trees in agroforestry systems contribute to enhanced nutrient cycling through leaf litter and root decay, which replenish soil nutrients. Agroforestry systems can lead to improved nitrogen fixation, especially in systems that incorporate leguminous tree species [24]. Additionally, the deep-rooting nature of many trees allows them to access nutrients from deeper soil layers, making these nutrients available to shallower-rooted crops [25].

B. Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services

Agroforestry is increasingly being acknowledged for its role in biodiversity conservation. The structural diversity provided by these systems creates habitats for a wide range of flora and fauna, thereby enhancing local biodiversity. Agroforestry systems can serve as corridors and refuges for wildlife, especially in fragmented landscapes. The shade-tolerant species in coffee and cacao agroforestry systems, for instance, have been shown to support higher levels of bird and insect diversity compared to monoculture plantations [26].The enhancement of ecosystem services is another key benefit of agroforestry. Trees in agroforestry systems can play a significant role in water regulation through improved infiltration and reduced surface runoff, contributing to better groundwater recharge [27]. Furthermore, the increased floral diversity in agroforestry landscapes can attract a variety of pollinators, thereby enhancing pollination services, which are vital for many crops [28].

C. Socioeconomic Benefits

Agroforestry systems can significantly contribute to improving livelihoods and economic resilience. Diversifying production through the integration of trees and crops provides multiple sources of income, reducing the vulnerability of rural households to market or climatic shocks. Agroforestry practices have been instrumental in increasing income levels in many rural communities by providing marketable products such as fruits, nuts, timber, and non-timber forest products [29].Numerous case studies across different regions have demonstrated the positive impact of agroforestry on local communities. For instance, in Kenya, the adoption of agroforestry practices has been linked with improved household food security and income levels [30]. Similarly, in India, agroforestry systems have been shown to improve the socioeconomic status of smallholder farmers by providing additional income sources and enhancing fuelwood and fodder availability [31].

Agroforestry in Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation

Table 2: Agroforestry in Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation: Practices, Benefits, and Challenges [32], [33].

Aspect	Description
Agroforestry Practices	Riparian forest buffers Windbreaks Silvopasture Alley cropping Forest farming
Carbon Sequestration	Trees in agroforestry practices sequester carbon in biomass and soils, contributing to climate change mitigation.
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Agroforestry reduces greenhouse gas emissions, particularly nitrous oxide, through nutrient uptake by trees.
Fossil Fuel and Energy Usage	Agroforestry practices can reduce fossil fuel and energy usage in agricultural operations.
Additional Benefits	Increased yields, risk reduction, improved pollinator/wildlife habitats, increased adaptation to climate change.
Types of Agroforestry	Silvopastoral Silvoarable

	Forest farming Hedgerows, windbreaks, riparian buffer strips Home gardens
Implementation Regions	Agroforestry is practiced worldwide, with varying popularity and implementation methods across regions.
Policy Support	Supported by international policy frameworks like UNFCCC and CBD. In Europe, supported through the Common Agricultural Policy.
Challenges and Limitations	Unfavorable policy incentives, inadequate knowledge dissemination, legal constraints, administrative burdens.
Economic and Environmental Benefits	Diversification of farm products, improved soil and water quality, increased biodiversity, pest control, climate change mitigation.

A. Carbon Sequestration

Agroforestry systems are effective in sequestering carbon, a critical factor in mitigating climate change. Trees in agroforestry systems capture atmospheric CO_2 and store it as biomass in their trunk, branches, leaves, and root systems, as well as in the soil. This biophysical process is significant in both above-ground and below-ground biomass [34]. The ability of agroforestry systems to sequester carbon varies with tree species, climate, soil type, and management practices, but overall, they are recognized for having a higher carbon sequestration potential than agricultural monocultures [35].When compared with traditional agricultural practices, agroforestry systems generally store more carbon. Conventional agricultural practices often involve activities such as regular tillage, which can lead to significant carbon loss from the soil. Agroforestry, by contrast, typically involves less soil disturbance, and the perennial nature of trees allows for a continuous accumulation of carbon in both soil and biomass. The conversion of agricultural lands to agroforestry can lead to a substantial increase in the total carbon pool [36].

B. Resilience to Climate Change

Agroforestry plays a crucial role in enhancing resilience to climate extremes. The structural complexity of agroforestry systems provides a buffer against environmental extremes, such as droughts and heavy rainfall. Trees can improve microclimates by providing shade and reducing wind speed, which can be critical for crop survival during extreme weather events [37]. Moreover, the deep-rooting nature of many trees used in agroforestry systems can access water from deeper soil layers, providing a water source during dry periods [38].Agroforestry offers several adaptation strategies for farmers and communities facing climate change. Diversifying farm production through agroforestry can reduce the risk of crop failure due to climate extremes. It also provides alternative sources of income through the sale of tree products such as fruits, nuts, and timber, which can be crucial in times of crop failure. Agroforestry practices also contribute to improved water management and soil fertility, which are key factors in adapting to changing climatic conditions [39].

C. Policy and Global Initiatives

There has been growing policy support for agroforestry as a tool for climate action. National governments and international bodies have started to recognize the role of agroforestry in carbon sequestration and climate adaptation. Policies such as REDD^{+} (Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation) under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) have begun to include agroforestry as a key component of their strategies [40].International organizations and agreements play a pivotal role in promoting agroforestry for climate action. The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), for example, has been actively supporting countries in developing agroforestry policies that contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation. Agreements such as the Paris Agreement under the UNFCCC also open opportunities for incorporating agroforestry into national climate action plans, acknowledging its significance in achieving global climate goals [41].

Challenges and Limitations

Implementing agroforestry systems is not without its challenges and limitations, spanning technical, economic, policy, and sociocultural aspects.

A. Technical Challenges

The successful implementation of agroforestry systems often requires addressing various complexities. These systems demand an understanding of the interactions between trees, crops, and sometimes livestock, which can vary greatly depending on the specific ecological conditions. These interactions can be complex and are not always predictable, requiring continuous monitoring and adjustment. Additionally, the long-term nature of tree cultivation can be at odds with the short-term needs and expectations of farmers, especially smallholders [42].Agroforestry systems are highly site-specific, demanding local knowledge and expertise for their effective management. The success of agroforestry is heavily dependent on the right choice of tree and crop species, which varies with local climate, soil, and socio-economic conditions. This necessitates a deep understanding of local ecology and farming practices, which can be a barrier, particularly in regions where such knowledge is limited or where extension services are inadequate [43].

B. Economic and Policy Barriers

The economic viability of agroforestry systems can be a significant barrier, especially in the initial stages. Establishing agroforestry systems often requires higher upfront costs compared to conventional agriculture, including the cost of saplings, labor for planting and maintenance, and potential reduction in short-term crop yields due to competition with trees [44]. Additionally, the long gestation period before the first harvest of tree products can be a deterrent for farmers who rely on regular income from their land.Policy constraints and lack of institutional support further exacerbate the challenges in adopting agroforestry. Many agricultural policies and subsidy programs are tailored towards conventional agriculture, often excluding or even penalizing agroforestry practices [45]. In many countries, land tenure systems can also be a barrier, as farmers may be reluctant to invest in long-term tree planting on land they do not own or have secure rights over.

C. Sociocultural Factors

Social acceptance and alignment with traditional practices are crucial for the adoption of agroforestry. Traditional farming communities may be hesitant to adopt new practices, especially when these involve significant changes to land use and management [46]. In some cultures, trees may have specific cultural or spiritual significance, which can either facilitate or hinder their integration into farming systems.Education and awareness are key to overcoming sociocultural barriers. Lack of awareness about the benefits of agroforestry, or a lack of skills required to manage these systems effectively, can limit their adoption. Extension services and educational programs play a vital role in this regard, but as these are often underfunded or absent in regions where agroforestry could be most beneficial [47].

Future Prospects and Recommendations

A. Research and Innovation

In India, where diverse agro-ecological zones exist, there is a substantial need for region-specific agroforestry research. This includes understanding the suitability of various tree-crop combinations for different climatic and soil conditions, as highlighted by B Teli [48]. Research is also needed in the realm of genetic improvement of tree species for better yield, resilience, and adaptability. Further, studies focusing on the long-term ecological impacts of agroforestry practices in India, such as soil health, water table levels, and biodiversity, are crucial for sustainable implementation [49].Technological advancements such as remote sensing and GIS for monitoring agroforestry systems can play a pivotal role in India. These technologies can aid in better planning, implementation, and monitoring of agroforestry practices across diverse landscapes [50]. Additionally, developing innovative methods for efficient water use, such as drip irrigation tailored for agroforestry systems, could address water scarcity issues prevalent in many parts of India.

B. Policy and Practice Recommendations

Policy improvements are essential for agroforestry promotion in India. There is a need for policies that recognize and support agroforestry as a distinct land use system, separate from traditional agriculture and forestry. Policies should also provide incentives for farmers to adopt agroforestry, such as subsidies for tree planting, and assurance of market access for agroforestry products [51]. Additionally, simplifying the regulatory framework around the harvesting and transportation of agroforestry produce would encourage more farmers to integrate trees into their farming systems.Developing best practices and guidelines specific to Indian conditions is critical for the successful implementation of agroforestry. This includes providing farmers with guidance on suitable species selection, management practices, and harvest techniques. Extension services play a vital role in this regard and should be strengthened to provide the necessary training and support to farmers [52].

C. Global and Local Collaboration

Global cooperation is key to advancing agroforestry in India. Collaborations with international research institutions and organizations can facilitate the exchange of knowledge, expertise, and resources. This can aid in addressing global challenges such as climate change, biodiversity loss, and food security, all of which are pertinent to India [52].Community engagement and local collaborations are crucial for the success of agroforestry in India. Local communities, often possessing traditional knowledge about native species and sustainable land use practices, are valuable partners in agroforestry projects. Collaborative approaches involving local communities, government agencies, NGOs, and private sectors can ensure that agroforestry initiatives are well-adapted to local conditions and are more likely to be successful and sustainable [54].

Conclusion

Agroforestry stands as a vital solution for sustainable land management, climate change mitigation, and socio-economic development. It offers a multifaceted approach, effectively integrating trees, crops, and sometimes livestock, leading to enhanced soil health, biodiversity, and ecosystem services. Its significant role in carbon sequestration and climate resilience highlights its importance in environmental conservation efforts. However, realizing its full potential requires overcoming technical, economic, policy, and sociocultural challenges. Future success hinges on focused research, policy reform, and collaborative efforts, particularly in diverse regions like India. As a bridge between ecological sustainability and human well-being, agroforestry is pivotal for a more resilient and sustainable future, making it an indispensable strategy in addressing global environmental and developmental challenges.

References

1. Lacerda, A. E. B., Hanisch, A. L., & Nimmo, E. R. (2020). Leveraging traditional agroforestry practices to support sustainable and agrobiodiverse landscapes in Southern Brazil. *Land*, *9*(6), 176.

2. Messier, C., Bauhus, J., Doyon, F., Maure, F., Sousa-Silva, R., Nolet, P., ... &Puettmann, K. (2019). The functional complex network approach to foster forest resilience to global changes. *Forest Ecosystems*, *6*(1), 1-16.

3. Van Noordwijk, M., Ekadinata, A., Leimona, B., Catacutan, D., Martini, E., Tata, H. L., ... & Zulkarnain, T. (2020). Agroforestry options for degraded landscapes in Southeast Asia. *Agroforestry for Degraded Landscapes: Recent Advances and Emerging Challenges-Vol. 1*, 307-347.

4. Facciotto, G., Minotta, G., Paris, P., &Pelleri, F. (2014, November). Tree farming, agroforestry and the new green revolution. A necessary alliance. In *Proceeding of the II International Congress of Silviculture: Designing the future of the forestry sector*.

5. Elagib, N. A., & Al-Saidi, M. (2020). Balancing the benefits from the water–energy–land–food nexus through agroforestry in the Sahel. *Science of The Total Environment*, *742*, 140509.

6. Fahad, S., Chavan, S. B., Chichaghare, A. R., Uthappa, A. R., Kumar, M., Kakade, V., ... &Poczai, P. (2022). Agroforestry systems for soil health improvement and maintenance. *Sustainability*, *14*(22), 14877.

7. Nair, P. R. (2011). Agroforestry systems and environmental quality: introduction. *Journal of environmental quality*, *40*(3), 784-790.

8. Wratten, S. D., Gillespie, M., Decourtye, A., Mader, E., & Desneux, N. (2012). Pollinator habitat enhancement: benefits to other ecosystem services. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, *159*, 112-122.

9. Fahad, S., Chavan, S. B., Chichaghare, A. R., Uthappa, A. R., Kumar, M., Kakade, V., ... &Poczai, P. (2022). Agroforestry systems for soil health improvement and maintenance. *Sustainability*, *14*(22), 14877.

10. Jat, M. L., Chakraborty, D., Ladha, J. K., Parihar, C. M., Datta, A., Mandal, B., ... & Gerard, B. (2022). Carbon sequestration potential, challenges, and strategies towards climate action in smallholder agricultural systems of South Asia. *Crop and Environment*, *1*(1), 86-101.

11. Sales-Baptista, E., & Ferraz-de-Oliveira, M. I. (2021). Grazing in silvopastoral systems: multiple solutions for diversified benefits. *Agroforestry Systems*, *95*(1), 1-6.

12. Wato, T., & Amare, M. (2020). Opportunities and challenges of scaling up agroforestry practices in Sub-Saharan Africa: a review. *Agricultural Reviews*, *41*(3), 216-226.

13. Lasco, R. D., Delfino, R. J. P., &Espaldon, M. L. O. (2014). Agroforestry systems: helping smallholders adapt to climate risks while mitigating climate change. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, *5*(6), 825-833.

14. Zamora, D. S., Allen, S. C., Apostol, K. G., Jose, S., & Wyatt, G. (2019). Temperate alley cropping systems. In *Agroforestry for sustainable agriculture* (pp. 89-118). Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing.

15. Sheppard, J. P., Chamberlain, J., Agúndez, D., Bhattacharya, P., Chirwa, P. W., Gontcharov, A., ... &Mutke, S. (2020). Sustainable forest management beyond the timber-oriented status quo: transitioning to co-production of timber and non-wood forest products—a global perspective. *Current Forestry Reports*, *6*(1), 26-40.

16. Atangana, A., Khasa, D., Chang, S., Degrande, A., Atangana, A., Khasa, D., ... & Degrande, A. (2014). Definitions and classification of agroforestry systems. *Tropical agroforestry*, 35-47.

17. Atangana, A., Khasa, D., Chang, S., Degrande, A., Atangana, A., Khasa, D., ... & Degrande, A. (2014). Definitions and classification of agroforestry systems. *Tropical agroforestry*, 35-47.

18. Morya, R., Bhargava , A., Lalitha, G. R., Vamshi, M., Verma , S., Rastogi, M., & Raj , S. (2023). Soil Management Practices to Enhance Carbon Sequestration Rates- A Review. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, *13*(11), 3762–3776.

19. Shin, S., Soe, K. T., Lee, H., Kim, T. H., Lee, S., & Park, M. S. (2020). A systematic map of agroforestry research focusing on ecosystem services in the Asia-Pacific Region. *Forests*, *11*(4), 368.

20. Rastogi , M., Verma , S., Kumar , S., Bharti , S., Kumar , G., Azam , K., & Singh , V. (2023). Soil Health and Sustainability in the Age of Organic Amendments: A Review. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, *13*(10), 2088–2102.

21. Moreno, G., & Rolo, V. (2019). Agroforestry practices: silvopastoralism. In *Agroforestry for sustainable agriculture* (pp. 119-164). Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing.

22. Reubens, B., Poesen, J., Danjon, F., Geudens, G., & Muys, B. (2007). The role of fine and coarse roots in shallow slope stability and soil erosion control with a focus on root system architecture: a review. *Trees*, *21*(4), 385-402.

23. Sánchez-Bernal, E., Ortega-Baranda, V., Domínguez-Hernández, F., Ortega-Escobar, M., Can-Chulim, A., & Sarmiento-Bustos, D. (2013). Soil erosion control using agroforestry terraces in San Pedro Mixtepec, Oaxaca, Mexico.

24. Rosenstock, T. S., Tully, K. L., Arias-Navarro, C., Neufeldt, H., Butterbach-Bahl, K., &Verchot, L. V. (2014). Agroforestry with N2-fixing trees: sustainable development's friend or foe?. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *6*, 15-21.

25. Pierret, A., Maeght, J. L., Clément, C., Montoroi, J. P., Hartmann, C., &Gonkhamdee, S. (2016). Understanding deep roots and their functions in ecosystems: an advocacy for more unconventional research. *Annals of botany*, *118*(4), 621-635.

26. Montagnini, F. (2020). The contribution of agroforestry to restoration and conservation: biodiversity islands in degraded landscapes. *Agroforestry for Degraded Landscapes: Recent Advances and Emerging Challenges-Vol. 1*, 445-479.

27. Pavlidis, G., &Tsihrintzis, V. A. (2018). Environmental benefits and control of pollution to surface water and groundwater by agroforestry systems: a review. *Water Resources Management*, *32*, 1-29.

28. Centeno-Alvarado, D., Lopes, A. V., & Arnan, X. (2023). Fostering pollination through agroforestry: A global review. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, *351*, 108478.

29. Chakravarty, S., Puri, A., Subba, M., Dey, T., Rai, P., Shukla, G., & Pala, N. A. (2015). Value addition of non-timber forest products: prospects, constraints, and mitigation. *Value Addition of Horticultural Crops: Recent Trends and Future Directions*, 213-244.

30. Coulibaly, J. Y., Chiputwa, B., Nakelse, T., & Kundhlande, G. (2017). Adoption of agroforestry and the impact on household food security among farmers in Malawi. *Agricultural systems*, *155*, 52-69.

31. Akinnifesi, F. K., Sileshi, G., Ajayi, O. C., Chirwa, P. W., Kwesiga, F. R., & Harawa, R. (2008). Contributions of agroforestry research and development to livelihood of smallholder farmers in Southern Africa: 2. Fruit, medicinal, fuelwood and fodder tree systems. *Agricultural Journal*, *3*(1), 76-88.

32. Mbow, C., Smith, P., Skole, D., Duguma, L., & Bustamante, M. (2014). Achieving mitigation and adaptation to climate change through sustainable agroforestry practices in Africa. *Current opinion in Environmental sustainability*, *6*, 8-14.

33. Gebre, A. B. (2016). Potential effects of agroforestry practices on climate change mitigation and adaptation strategies: a review. *J. Nat. Sci. Res*, *6*(15), 83-89.

34. Castanho, A. D. A., Coe, M. T., Costa, M. H., Malhi, Y., Galbraith, D., & Quesada, C. A. (2013). Improving simulated Amazon forest biomass and productivity by including spatial variation in biophysical parameters. *Biogeosciences*, *10*(4), 2255-2272.

35. Hong, S., Gan, P., & Chen, A. (2019). Environmental controls on soil pH in planted forest and its response to nitrogen deposition. *Environmental research*, *172*, 159-165.

36. Nair, P. R., Nair, V. D., Kumar, B. M., & Showalter, J. M. (2010). Carbon sequestration in agroforestry systems. *Advances in agronomy*, *108*, 237-307.

37. Kingra, P. K., & Kaur, H. (2017). Microclimatic modifications to manage extreme weather vulnerability and climatic risks in crop production. *Journal of Agricultural Physics*, *17*(1), 1-15.

38. Bayala, J., & Prieto, I. (2020). Water acquisition, sharing and redistribution by roots: applications to agroforestry systems. *Plant and Soil*, *453*, 17-28.

39. Dagar, J. C., Sileshi, G. W., & Akinnifesi, F. K. (2020). Agroforestry to enhance livelihood security in Africa: research trends and emerging challenges. *Agroforestry for Degraded Landscapes: Recent Advances and Emerging Challenges-Vol. 1*, 71-134.

40. Rosenstock, T. S., Wilkes, A., Jallo, C., Namoi, N., Bulusu, M., Suber, M., ... &Mboi, D. (2018). Making trees count: Measurement, reporting and verification of agroforestry under the UNFCCC. *CCAFS Working Paper*.

41. Dhyani, S., Murthy, I. K., Kadaverugu, R., Dasgupta, R., Kumar, M., & Adesh Gadpayle, K. (2021). Agroforestry to achieve global climate adaptation and mitigation targets: Are South Asian countries sufficiently prepared?. *Forests*, *12*(3), 303.

42. Wienhold, K., &Goulao, L. F. (2023). The Embedded Agroecology of Coffee Agroforestry: A Contextualized Review of Smallholder Farmers' Adoption and Resistance. *Sustainability*, *15*(8), 6827.

43. Kmoch, L., Pagella, T., Palm, M., & Sinclair, F. (2018). Using local agroecological knowledge in climate change adaptation: a study of tree-based options in Northern Morocco. *Sustainability*, *10*(10), 3719.

44. Wilson, M. H., & Lovell, S. T. (2016). Agroforestry—The next step in sustainable and resilient agriculture. *Sustainability*, *8*(6), 574.

45. Sinclair, F., Wezel, A., Mbow, C., Chomba, S., Robiglio, V., & Harrison, R. (2019). The contribution of agroecological approaches to realizing climate-resilient agriculture. *GCA: Rotterdam, The Netherlands*.

46. Long, H., Li, Y., Liu, Y., Woods, M., & Zou, J. (2012). Accelerated restructuring in rural China fueled by 'increasing vs. decreasing balance'land-use policy for dealing with hollowed villages. *Land use policy*, *29*(1), 11-22.\

47. Ndlovu, N. P., &Borrass, L. (2021). Promises and potentials do not grow trees and crops. A review of institutional and policy research in agroforestry for the Southern African region. *Land use policy*, *103*, 105298.

48. B Teli, S. (2023). Millet-based agroforestry: a nature-positive farming to achieve climate-resilience and food security in India and Africa.

49. Khan, N., Jhariya, M. K., Raj, A., Banerjee, A., Meena, R. S., Bargali, S. S., ... & Kumawat, A. (2021). Agroforestry and its services for soil management and sustainability. *Sustainable intensification for agroecosystem services and management*, 353-377.

50. Sharma, P., Bhardwaj, D. R., Singh, M. K., Nigam, R., Pala, N. A., Kumar, A., ... & Thakur, P. (2022). Geospatial technology in agroforestry: Status, prospects, and constraints. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 1-29.

51. Urruth, L. M., Bassi, J. B., &Chemello, D. (2022). Policies to encourage agroforestry in the Southern Atlantic Forest. *Land Use Policy*, *112*, 105802.

52. Altieri, M. A. (2004). Linking ecologists and traditional farmers in the search for sustainable agriculture. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *2*(1), 35-42.

53. Tirado, M. C., Cohen, M. J., Aberman, N., Meerman, J., & Thompson, B. (2010). Addressing the challenges of climate change and biofuel production for food and nutrition security. *Food Research International*, *43*(7), 1729-1744.

54. Altieri, M. A., & Nicholls, C. I. (2012). Agroecology scaling up for food sovereignty and resiliency. *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews: Volume 11*, 1-29.