

In this work, **Identification Of New Sources Of Resistance Against Cercospora Leaf Spot and Fusarium Wilt Diseases In Cotton**. It is an interesting work, but the Methods and References section are poorly described and should be solved. There are some parts that require improvement. For more details, please refer to the following:

1. Providing information about the age of the plants used as samples is crucial. The age of the plants can influence their susceptibility to diseases, and it also helps in understanding the stage of the crop cycle during which *Cercospora* leaf spot and *Fusarium* wilt prevalence is being assessed. This information contributes to the interpretation of disease dynamics in relation to plant development.
2. Clarification is needed regarding whether leaf samples were collected for both diseases or if separate samples were taken for each disease. Understanding the sampling strategy is essential for accurately attributing disease prevalence to specific pathogens. If the same samples were used for both diseases, it's important to explain this approach.
3. Explanation is required regarding how the sample size was determined to ensure accurate representation of the plant population. The rationale behind the chosen sample size is crucial for assessing the reliability and validity of prevalence data.
4. It is essential to elaborate on the statistical approach employed to provide detailed information about the research. Describing the statistical methods used for data analysis will enhance the transparency and credibility of the study. This information helps readers understand how the prevalence data were interpreted and whether the findings are statistically significant.
5. Clarification is needed regarding whether there was any treatment with both fungal isolates before sampling. Understanding if the plants were subjected to any specific treatments or if the study was conducted under natural, untreated conditions is important for assessing the external factors that may have influenced the prevalence of these diseases.
6. References: Pls double-check: there are several citations are not in accordance with standard bibliography writing guidelines.