Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Environment and Climate Change**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJECC_108280**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of organic nutrient management on quality parameters and yield of fodder cowpea varieties**
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	Yes, but the researcher/s should be explicit in the paper the importance of the investigation. This must be established in the abstract and introduction. The title is sound. The abstract may be revised to make it more comprehensive. This sequence may be adopted: rationale/gap, objectives, methodology, salient results and conclusion then recommendations for future researchers in the field. The last sentence is quite incomplete it thought (furthermore, compared to all other treatments) and therefore it is vague. The methodology may still be improved to include granular details. Expound on this part. Avoid one or two sentences paragraph. The manuscript requires more detailed information particularly in the methodology, results and discussion, and conclusion. Beef up literature review. Consider only studies over the last five years.	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Yes, but there were issues on punctuations and capitalizations (i.e., abstract, second sentence), Revisit the entire manuscript. Have this proofread by a grammarian and subject for stylistics and editing.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Dennis g. Caballes**
Department, University & Country	**Graduate Program School of Teacher Education, The National Teachers College, Philippines**