

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_110499
Title of the Manuscript:	An Assessment of Influence of Industrial Training Learning Outcomes on the Decision of Students to Leave or Stay in Hotel Management Courses
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community as one can know the importance of the industrial training learning outcomes. 2. Yes, the title is suitable. 3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive. 4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. 5. No, the references are not sufficient. 6. Additional comments: 6.1. The manuscript lacks references in the "Introduction" section. For example, there should be references cited for the paragraph "The learning outcomes of industrial training can encompass personal and professional growth.....and organizational skills". 6.2. The authors did not provide the theoretical background about the personality traits. 6.3. The authors did not clearly state how to come out two proposed hypotheses. 6.4. In Research Methodology section, the authors did not mention where they obtained the questionnaire. In addition, there was no reliability test mentioned in the manuscript. 6.5. What is GAP analysis? Any theoretical basis about this type of analysis? 6.6. What is the percentage variance of the test? 02%? 6.7. Since the factors 8 and 9 only contain less than 3 learning outcomes, they should not be counted as factors.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are quite a few typos in the manuscript. For example, afteridentifying, outcomesindividually, etc.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wen-Rou Huang
Department, University & Country	National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan