

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_110797
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Prandtl Number on Deissler’s Decay Law of MHD Turbulence at Four-point Correlations
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. The manuscript discusses the effect of Prandtl number on Deissler’s decay law of MHD turbulence at four-point correlations. All the results are noteworthy, but they lack proper presentation and discussion in the manuscript.  2. Tile is fine  3. Abstract of the article is not comprehensive. It must be elaborated a bit.  4. There are no subsection numbers in the manuscript. Also there is no subsection for discussion of obtained results.  5. The manuscript is not scientifically correct in the present form.  6. References are fine.  I would like to mention that the in the present form the manuscript is non-acceptable. There are lot of grammatical mistakes. A thorough proof reading of the entire document is required. There is no flow in the manuscript. Also, the abstract is inappropriate, and there is no discussion part. The authors need to explain the physical significance of their findings, and how it will be beneficial for future researchers in this field. Introduction part of the paper must clearly discuss the research till date in this domain, and how this manuscript adds value to already existing studies.	Noted
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language of the article is not suitable in present form, to be published.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	