

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_110797
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Prandtl Number on Deissler’s Decay Law of MHD Turbulence at Four-point Correlations
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. The work has a good theoretical and bibliographical basis and add some importance in fluid dynamics and magnetohydrodynamic areas. 2. The title suits well. 3. It’s important to explain what is MHD in the first place, in that case Magnetohydrodynamics. 4. Subsections are well distributed. 5. Yes. The Four-point Correlations is well documented. The simulations and graphics explanation are well printed. 6. The references are sufficient and recent. Some graphics lacks title. In figures 2a, 2b, 3a, 3b, 4a, 4b, 6a, 7a and 7b we can’t see the values on y axis (probably due to conversion problems).	Noted
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article is well written but have some minor vocabulary issues (words, nomenclatures and abbreviations), such as No. (instead of number) and Equ. (instead of Eq. or equation). It’s best to choose the third-person to describe the article instead first person.	
Optional/General comments	No comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	