Review Form 1.7

| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJFAR_110277 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation of proximate composition and seasonal variations of the muscle tissue of mouth-brooding Tilapia Oreochromis mossambica from Meghadrigedda Reservoir, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | | |
| Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) | The results of this research contribute to the field of nutritional physiology. | |
| 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | It's better to change the tittle of this article. My suggest is: Evaluation of proximate Analysis of the muscle tissue of mouth-brooding Tilapia Oreochromis mossambica Based on | |
| 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? | seasonal variations and sex it is necessary to add conclusions about seasonal variations. | |
| 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | it is necessary to add subsections on methods and give more discussion not only results. | |
| 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? | | |
| 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. | That's right, but it's needed to add more references that support the discussion. | |
| | Yes, it's needed to add more references that support the discussion | |
| (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | English writing needs to be improved using standard terms. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Farida Nur Rachmawati | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Department, University & Country | Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Indonesia | |

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)