

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_111004
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of E-service Quality Dimensions on E-loyalty with E-satisfaction as an Intervening Variable (Study of E-commerce Users in Bandung City)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Similar studies have been found by the reviewer. Doing a longitudinal study on the same parameters would have given a more scientific approach to the study</p> <p>Reviewer found the title of the research suitable</p> <p>The abstract is found apt as per the study</p> <p>Sub sections are found proper, except 3.2</p> <p>Manuscript has been found scientifically correct.</p> <p>References used are recent. Referencing style not apt as per journal template. DOI of the cited studies are found missing.</p>	<p>Thank you</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>Sub sections has been corrected</p> <p>Thank You</p> <p>Referencing style has been corrected</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Thank You
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Referencing style of the article cited to be corrected as per the journal template.2. Double numbering in the referencing style found which is supposed to be corrected.3. Citations of the past studies to be added in conclusion to support the findings and results.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Referencing style has been corrected2. Double numbering has been corrected3. Citations of the past studies has been added in conclusion.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	