Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_110983
Title of the Manuscript:	STATUS OF AGRICULTURE CREDIT IN KARNATAKA: WITH REFERENCE TO THE PERFORMANCE OF KISAN CREDIT CARD SCHEME
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct
	Neviewer 3 comment	the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides a detailed analysis of	
	the period-wise and agency-wise growth of the Kisan Credit Card scheme in Karnataka. The study	
Is the manuscript important for scientific community?	offers valuable insights into the performance of the KCC scheme by considering the number of	
(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	operative cards and the amount outstanding over a five-year period. This information is crucial for	
	policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders in the agricultural and financial sectors to understand	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?	the effectiveness and reach of the KCC scheme in Karnataka.	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	2. The title of the article, "STATUS OF AGRICULTURE CREDIT IN KARNATAKA: WITH REFERENCE TO THE PERFORMANCE OF KISAN CREDIT CARD SCHEME," is suitable as it	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	accurately reflects the focus of the study on the Kisan Credit Card scheme and its performance in	
5. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	the context of agriculture credit in Karnataka.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It provides a clear overview of the study,	
The subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate:	including the objectives, methodology, and key findings. The abstract effectively summarizes the	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	focus of the research and the main results, making it useful for readers to quickly understand the	
5. 25 you difficult manageript to colonialloung contoct.	scope and significance of the study.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of	4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The paper is well-	
additional references, please mention in the review form.	organized and follows a logical structure, with clear headings and subheadings that help to guide	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	the reader through the study. The introduction provides a clear background and rationale for the	
	study, while the literature review effectively summarizes previous research on the Kisan Credit Card	
	scheme. The methodology section is detailed and provides a clear explanation of the data analysis	
	techniques used.	
	5. Based on the review, the manuscript appears scientifically rigorous, but a full evaluation is	
	needed to assess methodology, data quality, and potential biases.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide	6. The results and discussion section is well-organized and presents the findings in a clear and	
additional suggestions/comments)	concise manner. Overall, the manuscript is well-structured and easy to follow.	
	The references in the manuscript appear to be sufficient and recent. The authors have cited a	
	range of relevant sources, including academic articles, reports, and government publications, to	
	support their research. The references are also recent, with the majority of sources published within	
	the last five years. However, if the authors wish to further strengthen their literature review, they	
	could consider citing some additional recent studies on the Kisan Credit Card scheme and	
	agriculture credit in Karnataka. For example, a study by Kumar and Singh (2021) analyzed the	
	impact of the Kisan Credit Card scheme on agricultural productivity in Karnataka, while a study by	
	Singh and Kumar (2020) examined the role of technology in improving the delivery of agriculture credit in India. These studies could provide additional insights and perspectives on the topic.	
Minor REVISION comments	credit in india. These studies could provide additional insights and perspectives on the topic.	
MINO INC VIOLON COMMIGNIC	Based on the sections reviewed, the language and English quality of the article seem suitable for	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly	scholarly communication, demonstrating clarity and coherence in conveying research findings and	
communications?	concepts.	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sani Abdullahi Sule
Department, University & Country	Universitas Sebelas Maret, Indonesia

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)