

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_110594
Title of the Manuscript:	Emerging Treatment Option for Erectile Dysfunction in Male Diabetics
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<b>Useful.</b> The article reviews the existing modalities and the possibility of using new modality. By doing so, it allows further research into the area.  The author has discussed the current as well as “low intensity shockwave therapy” so the title is not completely reflective of the manuscript. I recommend changing it to cover both. Eg: using “Review with a focus on emerging”  Yes. I suggest to broaden the objective mentioned in Line 2,3,4 of the abstract as the author has actually reviewed existing role of other modalities as well. Yes  Review of existing literature  OK  The same suggestion on objective above: “a focus on new modality” but also a review of existing modalities as the manuscript appropriately tries that	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suggested grammar review	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	None	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rabin Bhandari
Department, University & Country	BP Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Nepal