

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_110594
Title of the Manuscript:	Emerging Treatment Option for Erectile Dysfunction in Male Diabetics
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <ul style="list-style-type: none">Yes. The manuscript is important for scientific community. 2. Is the title of the article suitable? <ul style="list-style-type: none">No. The title needs to be changed. Suggestion. <i>“Treatment options for Erectile Dysfunction in Diabetics”</i> 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? <ul style="list-style-type: none">The abstract needs corrections. <i>...“This study is focused on reviewing the potential of the low intensity shockwave therapy in treating erectile dysfunction in diabetic men.”</i>The aim is not in line with the work, as several treatments are described. 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? <ul style="list-style-type: none">Appropriate only if changes to the title and objectives are made. 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <ul style="list-style-type: none">Yes, but needs some corrections to the text.Statistical data on diabetes mellitus over 15 years! (2007, 2008). Needs updating. <i>“...The frequency of ED increases with age, affecting 5.1% of males aged 29 to 30 and more than 50% of those over 70 (Shamloul & Ghanem, 2013).”</i>Statistical data must be more recent. <i>“This review delves into the association between erectile dysfunction and diabetes mellitus, along with the emerging low-intensity extracorporeal shockwave therapy as a management approach.”</i> Does not agree with the text. Other treatments have been described. 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <ul style="list-style-type: none">Some references must be reviewed, especially those with statistical data.The article must have a maximum of 30 - 35 references.	 2. Agreed. Title of article changed in revised manuscript. 3. Correction effected in revised manuscript. 4. Changes to the title and objectives have been made in the revised manuscript. 5. Correction effected in revised manuscript. 6. References have been reviewed in the revised manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Needs review	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	