Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JESBS_110900**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Public Interest Litigation in Climate Justice: Chinese Mode of EPIL in Transnational Climate Disputes**
Type of the Article	The manuscript does not fit into any scientific production. It has a pedagogical structure.

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**1)** It is a pedagogical text that may be of some interest to the scientific community. **2)** The title is not appropriate because the authors did not say the study's goal. 3)It is necessary to point out a) what the objective of the study was, b) what the main methodological steps were followed, c) what the results achieved were, and d) what the conclusion of the study was. All answers must be part of a single paragraph. 4) No. The text is so fragmented that it is impossible to understand it. 5) No. The manuscript does not follow structure, or writing style. **6)** Of the 34 citations made, 17 references are from publications from before 2019, and 17 are recent, 11 of which are not scientific studies. This compromises the currentness and validity of the text's content.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The Grammarly app identified 318 errors related to the use of the English language.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Daniel Nascimento-e-Silva**
Department, University & Country	**Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology of Amazonas, Brazil**