

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_110833
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF MAIZE AND PIGEONPEA SEEDS FOR SEED METERING MECHANISM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. Yes, I agree that this article is good for scientific community</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive but could be improved by the author</p> <p>4. Yes, the structure of the manuscript is appropriate according to the standard.</p> <p>5. Yes, I do agree</p> <p>6. No, References are not sufficient and would be great to add more.</p>	<p>6. More references were added in the revised manuscript.</p>
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the quality of the article is good enough to meet the standards of the scientific community.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>The article is well written and comprehensive but references need to be added and the grammar can be improved.</p>	

## PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	