

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111209
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating several fungicides and bioagents to combat the isolated pathogen in the laboratory condition
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  Yes it was the important    Yes it is appropriate  Abstract is precise and it provides a knowledge in a effective way  Yes  Yes  No problem with references they are appropriate  Work is appreciated you can go through the corrections mentioned below	  I am very thankful for the great observations and the comments provided by the reviewers
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	  yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	 1. In abstract mentioned "Propiconazole (0.05%) was the next effective fungicide" In this sentence next is not suitable. Kindly instead of that directly mention it is the most effective 2. In key words never fungicide is stated, think it is not appropriate keyword 3. Instead of so much of introduction about fruit ; kindly also give some information regarding the pathogens and references ( core part of your work) 4. If possible add the details where you have get C.musae which you had undergone the culture.	 I have changed the words and also cited some references. Yes, I have mentioned the source of culture

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	I am thankful to the reviewer. The quality of my manuscript is increased due to the pinpoint's comments.