

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111209
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating several fungicides and bioagents to combat the isolated pathogen in the laboratory condition
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  1. Conceptually and as a research basis, this research has a good position in explaining the subject and the resulting impacts. For a general theme, this journal needs a title that is concrete and elegant, and has good reading value, for this reason it is taken into consideration when choosing strong title diction.  2. fungicides and bioagents: evaluation of pathogen eradication under laboratory conditions.  3. quite complete  4. some parts are appropriate, but still need a structured review to adjust the template  5. In terms of the substance of the text, this article is seen as a scientific work  6. The number of references entered still needs to be added up to 30-40 references, by writing references using tools	  I have changed the title as per very good suggestions by the reviewer  I have also upgraded the references up to 35
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	  need a little improvement on some sub words	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	