

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110892
Title of the Manuscript:	Appraisal of MAGIC lines of Rice (Oryza sativa L.) for genetic variability, character association and path analysis studies
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the scientific importance of appraising MAGIC lines of rice lies in its potential to advance our understanding of genetic variability, trait relationships, and the genetic basis of complex traits. This knowledge is instrumental in developing improved rice varieties that can address the challenges of sustainable agriculture and food security Yes</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent</p> <p>The citation and references should be arranged according to the journal. Download the paper of this journal and arrange accordingly.</p>	<p>Submitted paper is original research article. Some of the suggestions suggested were accepted, corrected and highlighted accordingly.</p> <p>Citations are arranged properly.</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language of the article is suitable</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion</p>
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Good article</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No issues