

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_111025
Title of the Manuscript:	Placenta Previa associated factors; Retrospective study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  1- Yes, it is important because it reflects the importance of women being exposed to caesarean sections and they may expose to health problems that occur with placental Previa, but the rate of occurrence of the problem from old reference 2014.  2- The title does not clearly indicate the study, so I suggest writing it under the title Maternal risk factors associated with placenta Previa; Retrospective study  3- The abstract of the article not comprehensive? Data collection tools are not clearly defined, sample type and technique are not stated, and there is no recommendation  4- The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. But some modification should be done as objective of the study- significant of the study research questions, research design and sampling  5- The manuscript need more organization and some details especially in subjects and methodology  6- The references were sufficient but include too much old.	  Title edited to Maternal risk factors associated with placenta Previa; Retrospective study The reference year is 2016, corrected in the edited manuscript  We conducted a retrospective analysis of placenta previa patients who underwent surgery under the same physician between January 2021 and January 2023 data was collected by trained doctors from hospital database information collected include (age, gravidity, parity, hospital length of stay, Apgar score, in addition to factors related to intraoperative findings) The purpose of this study was to investigate the maternal risk variables (length of hospital stay, quantity of bleeding, amount of erythrocyte suspension used, duration of surgery, and death) linked with placenta previa and outcome. Study method was corrected in the edited manuscript
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It needs some spelling and grammar checking	Grammar checked
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	