Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_110992
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF WATER BORNE PATHOGEN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

		T
Review Report	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct
		the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title: Identification and Characterization of Waterborne Pathogens in Developing Countries	Tils/fier reedback fiere)
Compulsory REVISION Confinents	The presented study explores the prevalence of E. cloacae in water sources within nearby villages,	
Is the manuscript important for scientific community?	highlighting the significant impact on public health, particularly in causing UTIs, diarrhea, and	
(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	gastroenteritis. The focus on waterborne pathogens is crucial, given the widespread issue of	
(Please while lew sentences on this manuscript)		
2. Is the title of the article suitable?	inadequate access to safe drinking water in developing countries. Ethical Approval and Research Authorization:	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	The report lacks clarity on the ethical approval process and authorization for sample collection. It is	
(II not please suggest an alternative title)	imperative to provide information on the responsible ethical committee that approved the research	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	and the entities that granted permission for obtaining water samples from various locations.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive:	Addressing this aspect is crucial for ensuring the study's adherence to ethical standards.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Impact on Society:	
The subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The study addresses a pressing issue, shedding light on the potential health risks associated with	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	contaminated water sources. By creating awareness among the public, it has the potential to	
o. Do you tillik the manuscript is scientifically correct:	reduce waterborne diseases and contribute to lowering the infant mortality rate in affected	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of	communities.	
additional references, please mention in the review form.	Contribution to Society:	
additional references, piease mention in the review form.	The research contributes to public awareness and emphasizes the importance of implementing	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide	safety precautions to prevent water contamination. This study could serve as a foundation for	
additional suggestions/comments)	community-based interventions, education programs, and policy changes aimed at improving water	
duditional suggestions/comments/	quality in the targeted regions.	
	Future Impact and Recommendations:	
	The report lacks a thorough discussion on the anticipated future impact of the research. It is	
	essential to elaborate on potential long-term benefits, such as reduced disease prevalence and	
	improved community health. Additionally, the researcher should provide recommendations for	
	future studies, interventions, or policies that can further address waterborne pathogen	
	contamination.	
	Conclusion:	
	While the study addresses a pertinent issue, clarification on ethical approval, a more detailed	
	exploration of the societal impact, and explicit recommendations for the future are necessary. Once	
	these aspects are addressed, the research will likely stand as a valuable contribution to the field.	
	Thank you for your attention to these crucial points.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly		
communications?		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: DR Checked by: PMApproved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaista Bibi Jadoon
Department, University & Country	Asia E University, Malaysia

Created by: DR Checked by: PMApproved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)