PERIOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT OF PAIN AND OTHER CONSEQUENCES FOLLOWING REGIONAL OR LOCAL ANESTHESIA FOR PEDIATRIC PATIENTS WITH LEAST INVASIVE PROCEDURES

ABSTRACT

Operative procedures, whether emergent or elective, must be executed carefully, keeping all important measures in mind. It is of great value for the surgeons and the anesthetists to be on the same page while planning for a particular procedure to be carried out on a patient. However, with the pediatric population, even the most simple of all procedures tend to become complex if care is not taken. The pediatric population is extremely vulnerable to developing complications, and therefore, a lot of care and proper measures need to be taken to ensure that the procedure goes smoothly and without any complications. Children undergoing such procedures require a tailored approach to address their unique physiological and psychological needs. Effective pain management is essential not only for the well-being of pediatric patients but also for ensuring successful surgical outcomes. Unmanaged pain can lead to increased stress, delayed recovery, and long-term negative effects on a child's perception of medical procedures. The unique challenge in pediatric patients lies in the need for a balanced approach that minimizes pain while avoiding potential side effects and complications associated with analgesic medications. In this article, we shall review and explore the significance of perioperative pain management in pediatric patients, with a focus on least invasive procedures performed with local anesthesia.

Keywords: pediatric anesthesia, pediatric surgery, minimally invasive procedures, pediatric surgery complications, anesthesia complications

INTRODUCTION

The topic of pediatric anesthesia has always required special attention to be paid to it. The only reason behind this is the delicate and complex nature of a child's anatomy and physiology, and therefore, it is important that ultimate care must be taken when inducing anesthesia on children. This specialized care comprises a set of particular rules and regulations to be followed. This involves age-appropriate language, a nuanced understanding of the dynamics within the child's family, and a thorough assessment of the patient's developmental stage as some of the most important factors.(1)

As healthcare professionals prepare young patients for surgery, the utilization of language tailored to their age group becomes an important aspect of the preoperative process. Clear communication, free from jargon and conveyed in a manner understandable to children, plays a crucial role in alleviating anxiety and fostering trust. This deliberate choice of language not only aids in preparing the child for the upcoming procedure but also contributes to a positive overall experience.(2)

Beyond linguistic considerations, recognizing the intricacies of the child's family dynamics is integral to providing comprehensive preoperative care. A thorough understanding of familial support structures, caregiver roles, and potential sources of stress within the family unit allows healthcare providers to tailor their approach, creating an environment that promotes the child's well-being and comfort.(3)

However, what is the topic of most concern here is the management of pain that follows the reversal of anesthesia. In the pediatric population, the management of pain might become a great problem as it is not always possible to make the operated children understand how serious their

surgery had been, and that they need to take proper care of their wound in order to minimize pain and the related consequences. (4)

POSTOPERATIVE PAIN MANAGEMENT IN THE PEDIATRIC POPULATION

The whole experience of hospitalization and surgery can evoke considerable stress and anxiety in children, with the induction of anesthesia often standing out as the most distressing aspect of the entire perioperative period.

Research indicates that children who undergo anxious and fearful experiences during the induction of anesthesia are more likely to face adverse clinical outcomes. These may include the emergence of delirium, heightened requirements for analgesics, and negative postoperative behavioral changes such as sleep disturbances, separation anxiety, eating problems, new-onset enuresis, and aggression towards authority figures. (5)

Additionally, a stressful perioperative experience can lead to poor compliance with future medical therapy, including anesthesia, underscoring the ethical imperative of minimizing distress and its significance in preventing long-term behavioral issues.(6)

The impact of surgery and anesthesia-related stress varies according to the age of the child. Predictors of preoperative anxiety include ages between 1 and 3 years, an inhibited and dependent temperament, anxious parents, and previous negative hospital experiences. (4)

For infants under nine months, parental surrogates are readily accepted, and separation anxiety is less likely. Soothing voices, gentle rocking, and minimal fasting times contribute to a calm child and a smooth induction. In the age group of 1 to 3 years, separation anxiety becomes prominent, and simple explanations of surgical and anesthetic procedures, coupled with play therapy, are effective in alleviating anxiety. Between 3 and 6 years, concerns about bodily mutilation may arise, and explanations tailored to their level of understanding can help reduce anxiety. (2)

Children aged 7 to 12 years require more detailed explanations and may benefit from participating in the process, such as choosing an anesthetic facemask or holding the mask during induction. Toys, storybooks, and videos can also be valuable tools. Adolescents, with their increased body awareness and need for privacy, benefit from involvement in the anesthesia plan, providing them with a sense of control and reducing anxiety.(7)

Children with psychological, developmental, or behavioral disorders pose a unique challenge as they are often fearful and suspicious of strangers, making establishing rapport difficult. This group may exhibit aggressive and combative behavior during anesthesia induction, necessitating sedation, restraint, or both.(8)

In light of these age-dependent reactions and varied temperaments, a successful plan for the induction of anesthesia should be tailored to the individual characteristics of the child. Pre-induction techniques to manage anxiety include both pharmacological methods, such as sedative premedication, and non-pharmacological approaches. These considerations underscore the importance of a holistic and personalized approach to pediatric anesthesia, recognizing the diverse needs and responses of children across different age groups.(9)

PEDIATRIC REGIONAL ANESTHESIA FOR REDUCING PERIOPERATIVE PAIN INTENSITY

Over time, while research was being done to exclude the safest and most conventional means of anesthesia for children, it was deduced that local or regional anesthesia is indeed one of the safest and easiest ways of inducing anesthesia in children. It can also help reduce the incidences of pain and post-operative complications in the pediatric population to a greater extent. (10)

Pediatric regional anesthesia (PRA) is now regarded as an important component within modern anesthetic practices. It represents a highly valuable and safe tool for addressing perioperative

pain in children. Adopting it for the large-scale induction of anesthesia not only benefits the patients but also contributes significantly to the overall efficiency of hospital practices.(11)

This specialized approach to anesthesia offers a multitude of advantages, creating a positive impact on both pain management and the broader healthcare system. By providing exceptional pain relief, pediatric regional anesthesia enables healthcare providers to implement multimodal analgesic techniques, thereby reducing the reliance on opioids. This shift aligns with contemporary efforts to eliminate the potential risks associated with opioid use, contributing to enhanced patient safety and improved recovery outcomes.(12)

In recent years, the field of pediatric regional anesthesia has experienced notable advancements, with a particular emphasis on safety measures and the integration of ultrasound guidance. While there is a general trend moving away from neuraxial blocks, these techniques still hold a distinct and valuable place in specific scenarios, especially in surgeries that involve a supra-major cavity invasion.(13)

Innovative regional anesthesia (RA) techniques, such as anterolateral and posterolateral trunk blocks, have emerged as promising additions to the armamentarium of pediatric anesthesia. These techniques, while demonstrating efficacy, also contribute to the evolving landscape of pain management strategies.(14)

Furthermore, the incorporation of adjuvant medications and ambulatory catheters has extended the duration of regional anesthesia, fostering not only prolonged pain relief but also cost-effectiveness. The ability to facilitate early hospital discharge is a notable advantage, aligning with the broader goals of optimizing resource utilization and enhancing patient recovery experiences.

Pediatric regional anesthesia has evolved into an indispensable component of modern anesthetic practices, offering a robust solution for perioperative pain management in children. The ongoing progress in safety protocols, the introduction of novel techniques, and the integration of complementary strategies underscore the dynamic nature of this field, emphasizing its pivotal role in enhancing both patient care and the operational efficiency of healthcare institutions.(8)

THE BENEFITS OF PERIOPERATIVE REGIONAL ANESTHESIA IN THE PEDIATRIC POPULATION

There are several benefits of opting for regional anesthesia for the pediatric population. Regional anesthesia (RA) stands as an important element in modern anesthetic practices, offering tangible benefits that extend beyond mere pain relief. (15)

The most notable advantage of RA lies in its ability to provide profound and prolonged pain relief without altering the physiological environment. This stands in contrast to opioid-based pain relief, which, while effective, is associated with a range of adverse effects, including nausea, vomiting, respiratory depression, reduced gut motility, and increased apnoeic spells, particularly in vulnerable populations.(16)

Moreover, the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for pain relief poses potential risks to the immature renal system. In contrast, RA not only offers pain relief without such drawbacks but also exerts subtle yet significant positive influences on various physiological aspects. These include modulation of the stress response, and impact on cellular, metabolic, immunological, hormonal, and hemostatic functions. (17)

Notably, the release of stress hormones - epinephrine, norepinephrine, adrenocorticotropic hormone, cortisol, and prolactin is lower following RA compared to general anesthesia.(18)

Clinical observations indicate that combining general anesthesia with RA results in superior operating conditions and reduced surgical blood loss in pediatric patients compared to general anesthesia alone. Additionally, RA contributes to the earlier restoration of gut function, promoting improved peristalsis. This stands in contrast to opiates, which can increase intestinal muscle tone and slow down peristalsis.(19)

It has also been found through research that several local anesthetics (LAs) exhibit unique characteristics. In infants, LAs possess a greater volume of distribution, lower clearance, and a higher free non-protein-bound fraction. This specific pharmacokinetic profile mitigates the potential for toxicity associated with the larger free non-protein-bound fraction. Consequently, the amount of LAs used for single-shot procedures, expressed in mL/kg, can be comparable in children and adults.(20)

However, the administration of continuous infusions introduces a more intricate pharmacological landscape in developing children. The enzymatic activity of CYP1A2, responsible for metabolizing ropivacaine, remains immature before 4–7 years of age. (21)

On the other hand, CYP3A4/7, responsible for metabolizing levobupivacaine, achieves full enzymatic capacity by the age of 1 year. While well-designed studies comparing these two anesthetics are lacking, understanding these pharmacological intricacies is crucial for optimizing the safety and efficacy of RA in pediatric patients.(22)

LIMITATIONS OF OPTING FOR REGIONAL ANESTHESIA FOR THE PEDIATRIC POPULATION

This technique of anesthesia induction, while offering valuable benefits, is not without potential complications, which can range from transient failure to the more severe consequence of permanent damage to nerves or other structures.

The critical point where these complications often arise is at the ‘end of the needle,’ a phase during which the anesthesiologist is actively present, allowing for immediate and successful management to prevent long-term sequelae.(23)

Recognizing the unique challenges posed by pediatric patients, it is strongly advised to administer anesthesia or heavy sedation when performing regional blocks in children.

The rationale behind this recommendation lies in the inherent difficulty of expecting cooperation from a frightened child, who may be unable to communicate signs of systemic toxicity during the procedure. Inappropriate movements during the block procedure can pose hazards, emphasizing the importance of a controlled environment for the safety of the child.(24)

While there is a potential consideration for awake regional anesthesia in a mature child undergoing minor procedures, the associated risks, such as the child’s inability to warn of potential nerve injuries due to the needle, should be carefully weighed.

However, recent studies, as discussed in the safety section, have affirmed the safety of pediatric regional anesthesia (PRA) even when performed under general anesthesia in a substantial series of patients.

CONCLUSION

Pediatric regional anesthesia (PRA) emerges as a pivotal component of modern anesthetic practice, providing profound and prolonged pain relief with benefits such as decreased opioid use and positive impacts on various physiological aspects. When used according to age-specific considerations, PRA addresses the unique challenges in pediatric patients, emphasizing the importance of anesthesia or heavy sedation to ensure a controlled environment during procedures. While complications are possible, their management is often successful when promptly addressed by the anesthesiologist. The technique involves careful consideration of

factors such as the child's fear response and potential risks associated with awake regional anesthesia in mature children.

REFERENCES

1. Tesoro S, Marchesini L, De Robertis E. Pediatric Anesthesia. Transl Med UniSa. 2019 Jan 12;20:1–3.

2. Ahmed Z, Rufo PA. Pediatric Preoperative Management. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023 [cited 2023 Nov 22]. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK559198/

3. Khan FA, Haider S, Abbas N, Akhtar N, Haq NU, Khaskheli MS, et al. Challenges of Pediatric Anesthesia Services and Training Infrastructure in Tertiary Care Teaching Institutions in Pakistan: A Perspective From the Province of Sindh. Anesth Analg. 2022 Mar 1;134(3):653–60.

4. Wu JP. Pediatric Anesthesia Concerns and Management for Orthopedic Procedures. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2020 Feb;67(1):71–84.

5. Walters CB, Kynes JM, Reddy SK, Crockett CJ, Lovejoy HK, Lorinc AN. Pediatric Anesthesia Specialty Societies and Multi-Institutional Collaborations. Children. 2020 Nov 17;7(11):233.

6. Walters C. Pediatric Anesthesiology Special Issue. Children. 2021 Mar 7;8(3):201.

7. Holzki J. Recent advances in pediatric anesthesia. Korean J Anesthesiol. 2011 May;60(5):313–22.

8. Dave NM. Premedication and Induction of Anaesthesia in paediatric patients. Indian J Anaesth. 2019 Sep;63(9):713–20.

9. Chorney JM, Kain ZN. Behavioral analysis of children's response to induction of anesthesia. Anesth Analg. 2009 Nov;109(5):1434–40.

10. Boric K, Dosenovic S, Jelicic Kadic A, Batinic M, Cavar M, Urlic M, et al. Interventions for postoperative pain in children: An overview of systematic reviews. Paediatr Anaesth. 2017 Sep;27(9):893–904.

11. Jun JH, Kim KN, Kim JY, Song SM. The effects of intranasal dexmedetomidine premedication in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Can J Anaesth J Can Anesth. 2017 Sep;64(9):947–61.

12. Bosenberg A. Benefits of regional anesthesia in children. Paediatr Anaesth. 2012 Jan;22(1):10–8.

13. Kain ZN, Caldwell-Andrews AA, Mayes LC, Weinberg ME, Wang SM, MacLaren JE, et al. Family-centered preparation for surgery improves perioperative outcomes in children: a randomized controlled trial. Anesthesiology. 2007 Jan;106(1):65–74.

14. O'Sullivan M, Wong GK. Preinduction techniques to relieve anxiety in children undergoing general anaesthesia. Contin Educ Anaesth Crit Care Pain. 2013 Dec 1;13(6):196–9.

15. Wu JP. Pediatric Anesthesia Concerns and Management for Orthopedic Procedures. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2020 Feb;67(1):71–84.

16. Jöhr M. Regional anaesthesia in neonates, infants and children: an educational review. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2015 May;32(5):289–97.

17. Walker BJ, Long JB, Sathyamoorthy M, Birstler J, Wolf C, Bosenberg AT, et al. Complications in Pediatric Regional Anesthesia: An Analysis of More than 100,000 Blocks from the Pediatric Regional Anesthesia Network. Anesthesiology. 2018 Oct;129(4):721–32.

18. Meyer MJ, Krane EJ, Goldschneider KR, Klein NJ. Case report: neurological complications associated with epidural analgesia in children: a report of 4 cases of ambiguous etiologies. Anesth Analg. 2012 Dec;115(6):1365–70.

19. Manyande A, Cyna AM, Yip P, Chooi C, Middleton P. Non-pharmacological interventions for assisting the induction of anaesthesia in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015 Jul 14;2015(7):CD006447.

20. Epstein RH, Stein AL, Marr AT, Lessin JB. High concentration versus incremental induction of anesthesia with sevoflurane in children: A comparison of induction times, vital signs, and complications. J Clin Anesth. 1998 Feb;10(1):41–5.

21. Mossetti V, Ivani G. Controversial issues in pediatric regional anesthesia. Paediatr Anaesth. 2012 Jan;22(1):109–14.

22. Verma D, Naithani U, Gokula C, Harsha. Spinal anesthesia in infants and children: A one year prospective audit. Anesth Essays Res. 2014;8(3):324–9.

24. Almenrader N, Passariello M, Coccetti B, Haiberger R, Pietropaoli P. Steal-induction after clonidine premedication: a comparison of the oral and nasal route. Paediatr Anaesth. 2007 Mar;17(3):230–4.