

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_109782
Title of the Manuscript:	Anchorage Bond Strength Characteristics of Lateritic Concrete with Laterite Aggregates and Palm Kernel Fibres
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This manuscript is very important for research using mixed aggregates.</p> <p>The title of the article is appropriate and very scientific</p> <p>The abstract reflects the research background, objectives and methods used in this research, as well as the results and simple discussion. including general conclusions have also been presented.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and reflect this journal's template.</p> <p>Scientifically it is appropriate and correct.</p> <p>The references are already numerous and up to date, I think that's enough and there's no need to add more. Because the minimum requirements required by this Journal have been met.</p> <p>My hope is that this paper can be supplemented with better materials so that not only palm oil kernels and fibers, but much more from palm trees can be used.</p>	<p>Thanks for all the comments made to the various aspects of our manuscript.</p> <p>We are also grateful for the suggestion. We hope to take care of them in future research works, and the result will be published here.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The grammar in this paper needs to be improved, both adjectives, verbs and nouns do not follow correct English rules.</p>	<p>Thanks. We have improved on the grammar in the write-up.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>A good text for the development of Science and Technology as well as the development of concrete mix technology specifically.</p>	<p>Thanks. We are grateful.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues.