

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_110609
Title of the Manuscript:	The Securitization of Politics in Ethiopia: Assessing Security Crisis in Western Oromia Since 2018
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes! It is really timely research.</p> <p>The title of the research is good, but the comments given regarding the title must be seen!</p> <p>It is comprehensive. The language should be corrected to make it clear!</p> <p>The researcher should stick to the journal guideline! The guideline is not followed!</p> <p>This article has about 34 pages! Almost a research paper! I hope it is going to be reduced</p> <p>There are references which are not recent. Some of them are indicated to be omitted.</p> <p>➤ The researcher is expected to omit out the irrelevant references and ideas to make the article brief.</p>	<p>The title of the improved</p> <p>Author conducted language edition (proof reading) Used word template of journal and corrected</p> <p>Reduced to 24 pages and some sections as well</p> <p>Relevant references maintained and others updated</p> <p>➤ Considered</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The problem here is the researcher used monotonous sentences. Different kinds of sentences were not used. Simple sentences, compound sentences, complex sentences and compound-complex sentences were not used!</p>	<p>Structure of the sentences were improved.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>This article has 34 pages! Please, work on it to reduce it to about 12 to 18! It is an article! You are not going to publish the whole research that may have more than 34 pages.</p> <p>I haven’t seen acknowledgement in this article!</p>	<p>Considered and improved to 24 pages, some sections are interdependent</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	