

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_110609
Title of the Manuscript:	The Securitization of Politics in Ethiopia: Assessing Security Crisis in Western Oromia Since 2018
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>The article includes the contemporary discussion on how political agendas have been presented as security problems and have led to the failure of democratic transition and insecurity in post-2018, especially the consequences of securitized political Issues and the fate of security in western Oromia and the essentiality of de-securitizing politics in Ethiopia.</p> <p>The author identified five dimensions (statehood, political participation, the rule of law, stability of democratic institutions, and finally, political and social inclusion) to assess the status of democracy in Ethiopia. The article outlined the inefficiency of the government, the enormous human rights violations, the unequal distribution of resources (both economic and political), and other related political problems led to massive resistance to the ruling regime. Therefore, this article is recommended for publication.</p> <p>Constructive criticism: Conclusion does not reflect brief summary of the article and no pinpoint recommendations; it could be considered as serious weakness of the paper which could be improved.</p> <p>The following minor corrections should be taken into consideration. a) Punctuations, b) Sentence formation, Spacing, and grammars to be revised; c) Avoid repetition of ideas; e) Capitalization of certain words f) brackets () closing and ending need to be checked</p>	<p>Grateful for the comments</p> <p>All comments are considered and improved accordingly.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	