Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_111097
Title of the Manuscript:	Esthetic management of spacing in Maxillary anterior teeth using a fully Digitized technique – A Case Report.
Type of the Article	Case report

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript addresses interesting aspects of the union between analog and digital in the resolution of a clinical case that is current and relevant in everyday clinical practice. 2. No, it is not a good title. I suggest the use the: "The use of digital flow to correct anterior dental diastemas with 3D guide - Case Report" 3. I suggest the following corrections: -References must be mentioned before any punctuation. Correct example: [1]. -On Line 20 and 21: I did not find the descriptors suggested by the authors in the "DeCS/MeSH Health Science Descriptors" I suggest the descriptors: Digital; Composite Resin; 3D Printing; Dental Impression Technic. -on line 31, write for a better understanding: "Diastemas have various causes, such as size anomalies, congenital tooth loss, atypical swallowing and hypertrophy of the labial frenulum, and can be treated with minimally invasive techniques." -On line 34: remove the word "more", as there is no need for it. -On line 39, mention the year of Coachman and Calamita's study: Example: "Coachman and Calamita described digital smile design as a multipurpose conceptual tool that can support diagnostic insight, improve communication and increase treatment predictability by allowing careful analysis of the patient's facial and dental features that may have gone unnoticed by clinical, photographic or cast-based diagnostic assessment procedures [insert reference number]. -On line 53 to 56, add references to articles, books, etc. that helped you reach this conclusion. -On line 57 to 62, add references to articles, books, etc. that helped you reach this conclusion. -On line 53, add information: "The purpose of this case report was to demonstrate the use The use of digital flow to correct anterior dental diastemas with 3D guide." -On line 103, (About DISCUSSION): The discussion needs to have:	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

	 citation of references; Discuss each author of the references with the results of their work; Smaller paragraphs to make it easier to read and understand. I suggest reducing the size of the paragraphs by redistributing the content. 	
	-On line 157 to 161 (About CONCLUSION):	
	Rewrite to: "The fusion of Injection Molding and Digital Dentistry offers high levels of precision, customization and efficiency. As technology continues to advance, the collaboration between traditional manufacturing methods and digital tools will undoubtedly shape the future of dental care. This will provide patients with improved solutions and dental professionals with innovative ways to achieve optimal oral health outcomes."	
	- The authors did not make it clear about COMPETING INTERESTS, I suggest adding this session in the paper.	
	 4. No, they need to be improved according to the magazine's standards. Once the authors have made corrections, it can be adapted. 5. Yes. 6. Yes. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Yes.	
Optional/General comments	Article with a good theme, but needs the authors to follow the suggested corrections for publication.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jaqueline Alves do Nascimento
Department, University & Country	Instituto Cearense de Especialidades Odontológicas, Brazil

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)