

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_110208
Title of the Manuscript:	Selenoprotein-p as Biomarker of Selenium Status in Obese Children and Adolescents
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1.a) This is good manuscript for developing idea in scientific community. 1.b) Previous paper/journals only discussing on Selenoprotein-P used as a biomarker of Selenium Status either in Obese Children or particularly in Adolescents. 2.Evaluation of Selenoprotein-p in Obese Children and Adolescents as a Biomarker of Selenium Status in Egyptian population. 3.Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4.Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5.Yes, but they can also go for LDL/VLDL Ratio along with lipoprotein estimations. 6.Yes, the references are sufficient and also taken recent references	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 1.Yes,a little bit grammer corrections but it is not that much wrongly communicated,so it can be acceptable.	
Optional/General comments	Overall this article topic, presentation and time for taking sample preparation, statistics ,discussion and conclusion is ok but taking higher number of samples will give exact results.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishna Chaitanya Paleti
Department, University & Country	Viswabharathi Medical College, India