

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_110700
Title of the Manuscript:	FINANCIAL AND COST-VOLUME-PROFIT MODELS IN DEVELOPING A HOTEL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1.The manuscript is important, good and useful to society and researchers. 2. The title is good and appropriate for scientific research. 3. The summary of the article is good, but it must include the main objective of the research and the most important results reached by the researcher, and the keywords must also be shortened based on the title. 4. The sub-sections are good, and here we show that the researcher mentioned that he adopted the descriptive approach to the literature and its discussion. When reviewing the manuscript, we find that he relied on cost accounting techniques and tools, not the literature. Here, we must specify exactly what the researcher relied on in his research and modify the title of the paragraph. 5. The manuscript contains scientific information based on good references 6. The references are good, sufficient, and up-to-date.	Ok
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The writing language is good, but it needs proofreading in the introduction.	Modified
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is good and contains good scientific information that can be used in the future	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	