

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_110815
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological and epidemiological aspects of endocarditis caused by Rothia spp.: a systematic review
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	yes  yes  yes  The species and Genus name shall be written as per guidelines  Yes  Please give an insight on identification of Rothia sp. as it is stated that it is difficult to identify from other gram positive cocci.	          The second and third paragraphs of the discussion specifically address this issue. We have revised and added some sentences to clarify the text regarding the difficulty of identifying <i>Rothia</i> species and the potential for misdiagnosis with other bacterial agents.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Can be improved	English was revised and some mistakes corrected.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	