

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_110244
Title of the Manuscript:	Unsafe abortions at the University Hospital Bogodogo (UTH-B) in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, it is essential, particularly in the women’s healthcare field. The study addresses a critical maternal health concern, which is unsafe abortions. It provides insights into region-specific challenges and patterns of unsafe abortions. It is well-aligned with existing literature and offers practical recommendations that healthcare providers and policymakers can use.</p> <p>The current title is suitable. However, here is another suggestion: “High-Risk Abortions at University Hospital Bogodogo: A Comprehensive Study in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso”</p> <p>Yes. Could include implications and limitations</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The references are adequate. However, if more references to support the rationale could be included, it could be further beneficial.</p>	<p>We have taken into account the comment on the wording of the title</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Yes. A few rephrases are suggested in the main article.</p>	<p>This comment has been taken into account</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	This comment has been taken into account