

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_109188
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Trend Parameters and Seasonal Indices in the Presence and Absence of Missing Values of an Exponential Trend-Cycle in Time Series Analysis
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. Yes. This manuscript examines the comparison of trend cycle and seasonal components in the presence and absence of missing observations. Cyclical and seasonal components are important part of any time series analysis and determination of missing observation is very important for real life situations. 2. Title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. Include recent study in the reference section. See the research papers from ResearchGate. General Comment: Kindly modify the introduction. Clearly mention importance of time series analysis and impact of seasonal and cyclical components in time series analysis. Moreover, check the sentence pattern and rectify grammatical error.	 Noted
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 1. Some minor modifications are necessary for English quality of the article.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	