Attitude of NRLM beneficiaries towards livelihood diversification in Baghelkhand region of Madhya Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT
In the rural areas, people diversify because of geographical isolation, low quality physical infrastructure, under developing markets, resources scarcity, incidence of some natural disaster and agricultural failure etc. Considering the above statement, find researchable issues were recognize as: What are the attitude of NRLM beneficiaries towards livelihood diversification? Livelihood diversification is one of the dynamic solutions that are now occurring in rural regions and acting as a catalyst for economic growth and development. To cope with changing situation, mitigate losses from crop failure, economic and environmental risk, rural households are adopting various on-farm and off-farm strategies as drought-tolerant crops and mixed farming, vegetable and fruit production, animal husbandry, eggs and poultry, trade, formally employee, mining, manufacturing, construction, transport, carpentry, petty trade etc. The study was done during 2022-23 in Rewa and Shahdol districts under Baghelkhand region of Madhya Pradesh due to highest number of beneficiaries registered in respective districts under NRLM at state level. In this study Ex-post facto research design and 3 percent proportionate random sampling technique under multi-stage procedures were adopted. Thus 221 respondents were selected which were personally interviewed by using interview schedule, to analyze the attitude of NRLM beneficiaries towards livelihood diversification. The results of the study revealed that 50.22 percent respondent had medium level of attitude towards livelihood diversification.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Livelihoods are the means people used to support themselves, to survive and to prosper. Agriculture-based livelihood is effected by several nature-induced risk and hazards such as floods, droughts, riverbank erosions, and embankment damages. The purpose of diversification is maintaining various sources of earning throughout the year and make necessary adjustments based on changing circumstances to optimize the profits [8]. The trend in agriculture is moving from subsistence to sustainable farming, which give more emphasizes on diversifying sources of income [1]. More than half of the people 55 percent living in rural areas and still depend on agriculture for their primary source of income, but this is not a profitable way to continue since they are stuck in a system of low returns, rainfed conditions, prone to risk, lack of other profitable alternatives, inability to escape the impoverishing agrarian arrangement [4].

National Rural Livelihoods mission (NRLM) is one such government initiative to uplift the rural women by making them self-reliant and helps them in earning their livelihoods [3,15]. Vocational training mainly concerns itself with creating awareness regarding new technologies for improving rural
people’s livelihood security, conducting trainings for women to make them self-dependent in the farming community; and developing interest among the rural youth in agriculture [14]. In Van Dhan Vikas Kendra’s (VDVK’s) programme, tribals are trained and given working capital to do primary processing and value-added processing of minor forest products such as bamboo candles, hill brooms, and other items such as turmeric and cashew. As a result, these Kendra's will serve as a significant milestone in the tribals' economic development [16]. “Rural youth have significant contributions to the local and national economy by being participated in Income generating activities (IGA’s) such as vegetable production, nursery establishment, crop production, mushroom cultivation, bee keeping, livestock, goatry and poultry rising, cottage industry and small business etc. Unfortunately, the rural youth community is almost unknown to modern agricultural technology and has been left out from the main stream of economic development”[9,10,11]. The level of adoption of technology determines the level of productivity [6]. Goswami et. al. 2021 [3] revealed that attitude towards development program (r=0.47) shows moderately positive correlation with psychological empowerment of women beneficiaries of NRLM. Payasi et al. 2023 [7] revealed that majority of the tribal farmers 60.00 percent had favourable attitude towards forest-based livelihood practices.

“Attitude is defined as the degree of positive or negative effect associated with some psychological object and is a very important component of behaviour as it plays significant role in forming the overt and covert behaviour of a tribal farmer”[12]. “The farmers’ mentality affects how they diversify their sources of income. Attitude is nothing but the way of thinking or feeling about diversification”[2]. “It is found in the fact that some characteristic feeling or emotion is experienced as we expect accordingly some definite action. It is also influenced by so many factors like social factors, family, prejudices, personal experience, media exposure, educational and religious institutions and physical factors. The family is the most powerful source for the formation of attitudes”[13]. The parents, elder brother or sister provide information about various things. Attitudes developed by an individual, whether positive or negative are the result of family influence, are very powerful and difficult to change. In this backdrop, the present study is carried out with the specific objectives to know the ‘Attitude of NRLM beneficiaries towards livelihood diversification’.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Research Design: Ex-post facto research design was used.

2.2 Sampling technique: Multi-stage sampling techniques were adopted.

2.2.1 Location of study: The Baghelkhand region of Madhya Pradesh comprises 7 districts namely Rewa, Shahdol, Umariya, Annupur, Satna, Sidhi, and Singrauli. Out of which two district namely Rewa and Shahdol were selected based on maximum number of beneficiaries registered in NRLM.

2.2.2 Selection of block: Rewa and Shahdol district comprises nine and five blocks respectively, out of which two blocks namely Sirmour and Kulchuriyan from Rewa district similarly Jaisinghnagar and Burhar from Shahdol district were selected based on maximum number of beneficiaries. Thus total 4 blocks were selected.

2.2.3 Selection of villages: Sirmour and Kulchuriyan blocks comprises 102 and 104 villages respectively as similar way Jaisinghnagar and Burhar blocks comprises 87 and 102 villages
respectively. Out of these 4 villages will be selected randomly from each selected blocks. Thus total 16 villages will be selected.

2.2.4 Selection of respondents: A list of respondents will be prepared from selected villages with the help of NRLM bureaucrats. For the final selection of respondent’s, 3 percent proportionate random sampling techniques were adopted. Thus, the sample size for the study comprised of 221 NRLM beneficiaries. For the present study, primary and secondary data were also employed. Specifically, the attitudes of beneficiaries towards livelihood diversification were examined by using Reddy et al. (2020) scale [13].

2.2.4 Data analysis: The attitude score of respondent calculated by adding up of scores obtained by him/her on all statements and are categorized into three groups as less favorable, favorable and more favorable category. This classification is based on the theoretical range of scores. High score of the scale implies that beneficiaries have higher level of willingness to pursue livelihood diversification and vice-versa for the lower scores of the scale.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Attitude towards livelihood diversification

Table 1: Distribution of respondents according to their attitude towards livelihood diversification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less Favorable</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>21.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favorable</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>50.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Favorable</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>28.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 clearly explored that out of total 221 respondents, 50.22 per cent respondents belong to favorable attitude towards livelihood diversification, followed by more favorable 28.51 percent and less favorable 21.27 percent. Thus, most of respondents have favorable attitude towards livelihood diversification. The rationale for the outcome is that respondents are aware towards importance of various sources of income and their benefit which mitigates the risk of agriculture failure which helps them to sustain and raise their level of living. The above results are in line with the findings of (Mittra et. al. 2021) [5].

Table 2: Distribution of beneficiaries based on their mean score towards livelihood diversification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Livelihood diversification is a boon to farmer.</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Livelihood diversification serves as insurance to farmer during crisis</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Livelihood diversifications do not arrest the migration of farmers to towns and cities</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Livelihood diversification leads to efficient utilization of resources</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Livelihood diversification is a strategy for risk mitigation.</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Livelihood diversification does not ensure economic efficiency and sustainable livelihood.  
8. Successive progress in one enterprise and wealth lead to livelihood diversification.  
10. Competitive spirit among the people promotes livelihood diversification.  
11. Scarcity of farm labor results in diversification to non-farm activities.  
12. Livelihood diversification provides no scope for acquiring new knowledge and skills.  
13. Livelihood diversification increases the social status in the community.  
14. It gives me happy when others respect me for adopting livelihood diversification.  
15. Social capital enables households to diversify in main sources of livelihood including farm and nonfarm activities.

The data presented in Table 2 explored that, respondents had more favorable attitude with the statement “Livelihood diversification serves as an insurance to farmer during crisis” have rank first with 3.94 mean score, it might be due to earlier most of respondents have single source of income and during crop failure they stuck in financial crises, they can’t sustain their family needs and on the other hand the farmer who had diversified sources of income, had less affected to failure of their occupation. Followed by Benefits of government scheme direct the people towards livelihood diversification got second ranked with 3.36 mean score, it might be due to through NRLM government subsidy for establishment of vegetable and fruits orchards, credit facility with 1 percent interest rate, post-harvest training as spices processing, packaging and so on. Livelihood diversifications do not arrest the migration of the farmers to towns have third ranked with 3.14 mean score, Livelihood diversification is a strategy for risk mitigation have fourth rank with 3.10 mean score, it was cleared that the beneficiaries who have various sources of income are less affected by single occupation failure. Successive progress in one enterprise and wealth lead to livelihood diversification have fifth rank with 3.10 mean score, the probable reason for the statement was beneficiers who have the successive progress in one enterprise have high economic motivation, risk preferences, decision taker, have good management skill, opportunity seeker lead to beneficiaries for the diversification in other occupation. Livelihood diversification is a boon to farmer have sixth rank with 3.06 mean score, Livelihood diversification leads to efficient utilization of resources have seventh rank with 3.04 mean score, Livelihood diversification increases the social status in the community have eight rank with mean score 2.90, Social capital enables households to diversify in main sources of livelihood including farm and nonfarm activities have ninth rank with 2.83 mean score, Livelihood diversification does not ensure economic efficiency and sustainable livelihood have tenth rank with 2.81 mean score, Livelihood diversification provides no scope for acquiring new knowledge and skills have...
eleventh rank with 2.81 mean score, Scarcity of farm labor results in diversification to non-farm activities have twelfth rank with 2.70 mean score, Competitive spirit among the people promotes livelihood diversification have thirteen rank with 2.61 mean score, It gives me happy when others respect me for adopting livelihood diversification have fourteen rank with 2.60 mean score, and last fifteen rank statement was Livelihood diversification ensures livelihood insecurity with their least mean value 2.49., the findings is supported by (Reddy et. al. 2020) [13].

4. CONCLUSION

The results of the study explore that that majority of respondents as 50.22 percent had the favourable attitude towards livelihood diversification. It may be concluded that, respondent’s attitude on diversifying their sources of income for their livelihood, through the technical, socio-economic and political upgradation of NRLM recipients is positive, this positive attitude might be leveraged for the diversification necessary for sustained growth. The public extension system, social and mutual learning, the institutionalized process of empowerment, and sustainable, equitable and participatory extension and development may all be effectively supported by the NRLM that positively affect the attitude and promote livelihood diversification. The most important thing in the stakeholders is a good and favourable attitude, self-confidence and ability for self-determination, in addition to efficient collaboration and coordination amongst them.
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