

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105038
Title of the Manuscript:	A DECADAL, TEMPORAL AND SEASONAL VARIATION OF BLACK CARBON AEROSOL AT HIGH ALTITUDE REGION OF TAMIL NADU, OOTY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

## Review Form 1.7

## PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes it's very important</b></p> <p><b>Yes title is appropriate</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes its fine</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>No new references are added</b></p>	<p>New references added</p>
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Paper is lacking discussion ,Author should properly Discuss about variation in black carbon temporally seasonally, yearly etc</li> <li>No new references in paper.</li> <li>Benefit to society or researchers from this paper or new finding from this paper?</li> <li>How black carbon emission is related to climate change as black carbon emission is anthropogenic are there any supporting documents?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I have included some more points in results and discussion and highlighted it.</li> <li>Recent references are included with some points</li> <li>The point relate to this, is given in conclusion</li> <li>Further research is to be done regarding the relation between black carbon and climate change. Please visit the following link for supporting documents <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2021JD035772">https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2021JD035772</a></li> <li><a href="https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1001074221005453">https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1001074221005453</a></li> <li><a href="https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S001393512101519X">https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S001393512101519X</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	-