

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	AsianJournalofAdvancedResearchandReports
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJARR_101153
Titleof theManuscript:	THEEFFECTIVENESSOF EDUCATIONALSUPERVISIONANDQUALITYASSURANCE:SUYESECONDARYSCHOOL:ACASESTUDY
Typeof the Article	OriginalResearchArticle

Generalguidelinefor PeerReviewprocess:

Thisjournal'speerreviewpolicystatesthat**NO**manuscriptshouldberejectedonlyonthebasisof'**lackofNov elty**',providedthemanuscriptis scientificallyrobustandtechnicallysound.ToknowthecompleteguidelineforPeerReviewprocess,reviewersarerequestedtovisitthis link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

ReviewForm 1.7

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer'scomment	Author'scomment(if agreedwithreviewer,correct themanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthe manuscript.Itis mandatorythatauthorsshouldwrite his/herfeedbackhere)
Compulsory REVISIONcomments 1. Isthemanuscript important forscientific community? (Please writefewsentenceson this manuscript) 2. Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggest analternative title) 3. Isthe abstract ofthearticlecomprehensive? 4. Are subsectionsandstructureof themanuscript appropriate? 5. Doyou thinkthemanuscriptisscientificallycorrect? 6. Are the references sufficientandrecent?If you have suggestionofadditionalreferences,please mentioninthe reviewform. <u>(Apartfromabove mentioned6 points, reviewersare freetoprovide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Fair Fair ,it isbetterthaththesentence"SUYESECONDARYSCHOOL:ACASESTUDY"be replacedwith"ACASESTUDYIN SUYESECONDARYSCHOOL",Considerrevisingit No ,The abstract subsection generallycontains theresearch background,research objective, research method, and researchfindings(explicitlystated).Please revise Fair Yes Fair .Removereferencesatareover15yearsold,becausetheyhaveexpiredtoserve asreferencesandreplace them withnewreferencesmeasuringfiveyearsandunder. Note:The majorityofreferencesinyourarticleshouldbereferencesto thelatestscientific journalarticlesthatareunder5yearsold.Considerrevisingit oraddingto it.	Thanks for the comments ,I have made the changes as you have suggested from the abstract up the references .
Minor REVISIONcomments 1. Islanguage/Englishqualityofthe articlesuitableforscholarly communications?	Nocomments	
Optional/General comments	*(InIntroduction), the authors must explain what is different from this proposed work of earlierworks (What is theuniqueness andstrength/noveltyofyour research).Or it can be expressed at theend ofthediscussion in thereviewof related studies sub-section, bycomparingitwith previous relatedarticles *In the methodologysub-section, the phrase"qualitative design"should bereplaced with "qualitative method". *In the methodologysubsection,Itis better to include alist of open interview questions in the paper inthe form of aweblink. * Generally, the main part of thestructureofascientific article consistsof subsections/subtitles: Introduction,Related Work orLiteratureReview,Research Methodology, Results and Discussion, and Conclusion. Therefore, the authors mustreadjustthe structureof themanuscript subsections following general standards in reputablescientific articles Note: Please adjustallwritingformats inyour manuscriptbyfollowingthe standard ordraft format of theintended journal.	I have made the changes accordingly from the introduction, methodology and adjust with the structure suggested from the reviewer along with the standard of the journal. Also, I have made the changes in the tables and cite them with the other changes done in the conclusion part.

ReviewForm 1.7

	<div><div>* Please citeTable 1 in thetext bodyofyour paper.</div><div>* Please citeTable 2 in thetext bodyofyour paper</div><div>* Thepresentation ofTable 2 mustbeon the same page. Please revise/rearrangeit.</div><div>*In the Conclusion subsection, authors no longerneed to conduct other discussions except discussingthe findings of theresearch (answeringresearch objectives), thenoveltiesfound fromyour researchresults, andsuggestions for furtherstudy. Pleaserevise</div></div>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	