

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_104391
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Digital Technologies in Agriculture Extension
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>This paper is about the impact of digital technology on agricultural extension. The current manuscript gives the impression of a commentary on digital technology. If this paper is a Review, it is better to indicate that there are various opinions. The current manuscript only touches on what has been published in line with the authors' ideas. It can be said that the content of Cases 1-3 and Table introduces a number of different types, but it does not go against the author's idea (I do not know whether they are showing only such examples intentionally). I'm interested in barriers to digital technology adoption, but there's very little about it at the moment. Based on the above, it is recommended that the manuscript be revised and resubmitted.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<p>I think it's better to align the text right.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jun Kobayashi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Kochi, Japan</b>