

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103807
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of phosphorus and zinc on growth and yield of Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes 2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>I made some suggestions that I expect to help. The paper is very interesting but needs to be improved to have more details in all sections.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Please improve the abstract to appear all the sections of the paper.○ Please, try to improve the discussion section e bring some comparisons or examples from other studies in other countries.○ I missed a work chart showing the steps of the paper.○ Please, check the references; they are not on the author's guidelines.○ I missed some field photos.○ Please improve the conclusions	<p>Correction have been made accordingly.</p> <p>Correction have been made accordingly.</p> <p>Correction have been made accordingly.</p> <p>Correction have been made accordingly.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	I have agreed with all the mistakes mentioned by the reviewer and corrected them accordingly.