

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104804
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Plant Growth Regulators on Growth, Yield and Quality of Strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. In my opinion, the article is important for the scientific community. However, strawberry is a plant that is preferred all over the world, it's not studied a lot. 2. The title is suitable. It describes the subject of the article in a few words. 3. The abstract is concise and comprehensive. I suggest adding the meaning of abbreviations into a parenthesis 4. The manuscript is well-structured with the appropriate subsections. 5. In my view, the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are sufficient. I recommend adding a few more recent citations (if it's possible).	Thanks for appreciation.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is well-written using the English language appropriately. I recommend revising the text and correcting a few grammatical and syntax errors.	Ok
Optional/General comments	The study is well-written giving useful information to other researchers.	Ok

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	