

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_105045</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Variation in tree species ability to capture particulate matter in industrial and urban areas</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	  1. <b>The manuscript is important for the community to clarify the importance of trees in maintaining the purity of the atmospheric air and maintaining human health</b>  2. <b>Yes, the title of the article is appropriate</b>  3. <b>Yes, the abstract is complete and comprehensive for the article</b>  4. <b>Yes</b>  5. <b>Yes, correct</b>  6. <b>There is nothing wrong with references, but some of them need to write the year of the article</b>	Regarding the comment from the reviewer about references, we have put the missing years in the references.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Yes, suitable</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This scientific paper contains excellent insights and valuable results regarding the environment and how to preserve it from harmful particles, especially with regard to the use of trees to filter and purify the air from dust and toxic particles. Therefore, this research deserves to be published in your journal and represents an addition in the field of scientific research in the environment.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	