

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_102912
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF MICROBIAL ISOLATES AND EFFECT OF CONCENTRATION AND INCUBATION TIME OF PLANT AND COW MILK YOGHURT USING RESPONSE SURFACE METHODOLOGY.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejnf.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, it is important as a topic, but the methodology and the content is incoherent as a subject</p> <p>The effect of inoculum concentration of microbial isolates and incubation time on plant and cow milk yogurt using response surface methodology</p> <p>It needs to be more comprehensive after reviewing the whole manuscript</p> <p>No, the materials and methods are not in context with the results and also the discussion</p> <p>I think that the manuscript needs more reviewing and attention to be sophisticated and interconnected</p> <p>The recent five years references are missing. I think, adding more recent references will enrich the manuscript</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language needs minor correction	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I put many remarks in the attached reviewed manuscript	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Sarmad Ghazi Al-Shawi
Department, University & Country	Agriculture College, Basrah University, Iraq