

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Oncology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_104514
Title of the Manuscript:	Verrucous Carcinoma of the anus : A case report and literature review.
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaorj.com/index.php/AORJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. Yes, manuscripts are important to the scientific community. 2. Yes, the title of the article is appropriate. 3. Yes, the abstract of the article is covered. 4. The subsections and structure of the original are appropriate. 5. I think the original is scientifically correct. 6. The reference is out of date. 1. The researcher should add an introduction section. 2. Previous case reports should be added and compared with the case studies. 3. The researcher should add more in the conclusion section.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English of the article is suitable for academic communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Yes, this manuscript has ethical issues.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patsaraporn Somboonsak
Department, University & Country	Chandrakasem Rajabhat University, Thailand