

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Oncology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_104514
Title of the Manuscript:	Verrucous Carcinoma of the anus : A case report and literature review.
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaorj.com/index.php/AORJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. Relevant theme. Case reported is rare. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are sufficient. Suggested recent reference: "Premalignant and Malignant Perianal Lesions" Additional suggestions/comments * figures 1 and 2 must be mentioned in the text	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is recommended not to use first person pronouns in scientific writing (I, we)	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Yes. The authors correctly reported the ethical implications and must show the signed patient consent form to the editor-in-chief	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kariza Vargens Diniz Correia
Department, University & Country	Brazil